Journal of Critical Thought and Praxis

ISSN: 2325-1204. Journal homepage: https://www.iastatedigitalpress.com/jctp/

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2015, Article 8, https://doi.org/10.31274/jctp-180810-33

Teaching 21st Century Literacies in a Social Justice Frame

Rachel Arteaga, University of Washington

Abstract

Educational approaches across fields of study are increasingly shaped by their encounters with emergent technologies and the literacies they instigate. The use of technology in the classroom is uneven, and often corresponds to socioeconomic indicators of the community in which the school is located. In *Education and Social Justice in a Digital Age*, Rosamund Sutherland offers a conceptual framework and concrete recommendations for contemporary teaching and learning.

Recommended Citation

Arteaga, R. (2015). Teaching 21st Century Literacies in a Social Justice Frame. *Journal of Critical Thought and Praxis, 4* (1). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31274/jctp-180810-33

Copyright and Open Access

© 2015 Rachel Arteaga



This article is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial (CC BY-NC)</u> 4.0 License, which permits any sharing and adaptation of the article, as long as the original author(s) and source are credited and the article is used for non-commercial purposes.

The Journal of Critical Thought and Praxis is published by the Iowa State University Digital Press (https://press.lib.iastate.edu) and the Iowa State University School of Education (https://www.education.iastate.edu) Education and Social Justice in a Digital Age by Rosamund Sutherland. Chicago, IL: Policy Press, 2014. 176 pages. ISBN: 9781447305255

In Education and Social Justice in a Digital Age (2014), Rosamund Sutherland offers a 1 2 conceptual framework and concrete recommendations for contemporary teaching and learning. 3 Noting a distinction between skills-based vocational models and knowledge-based academic curriculum, Sutherland argues that the latter must be made available for students from all 4 backgrounds to ensure access to life opportunities, many of which require technological 5 6 proficiencies. The task of preparing students for college and career is increasingly shaped by 7 emergent technologies across academic disciplines and economic sectors. It is therefore 8 imperative that children and young adults learn to adeptly navigate infrastructures built for 9 communication in an era of increased digitization of content and workflows. Effectively engaging 10 the digital is often referred to as a new form of literacy for the new century. In her study, 11 Sutherland makes an important contribution to this discussion by documenting persistent structural inequalities in education and providing a social justice framework for the 12 reconceptualization and application of technology in the classroom. Arguing that digital 13 14 technologies alone cannot change social inequalities, she nonetheless advocates their use as 15 pedagogical resources. Her book poses critical questions and offers practical recommendations deeply relevant to researchers and practitioners in the field of education. 16

Sutherland begins her book, which is both theoretically informed and deeply grounded in 17 18 the context of the classroom, with a personal reflection on her own family's relationship to education and literacy. This is a moving section, and it sets a personal tone to the text that also 19 lends it an authoritative dimension beyond her strong academic credentials. She situates herself 20 within the discourse with openness and honesty, examining how the educational system in the 21 22 United Kingdom has provided her with opportunities to develop and flourish in life. Her selfdescribed "optimistic disposition," which draws her toward "the art of the possible," is balanced 23 by a clear-eyed reportage of her research findings, which consistently demonstrate class divides 24

25 in society reflected in the schools (5). She explains that the book "has been inspired by [her] 26 desire to write about the persistent and pervasive injustices within the English educational 27 system" (1). To take just one example of "areas of deprivation" in which many working-class 28 children find themselves, compared to the "relatively privileged state comprehensive education" 29 for her own children, she notes that "less than 5% of young people from the Withywood community attended university compared with almost 50% in the more prosperous areas of the 30 31 city" (6). "This is not a difference between state and private education systems," she insists, but 32 rather a difference in funding based on the location of public schools. Withywood, with its 33 geographical compression of working-class families, is not as well-resourced as the urban 34 middle-class schools (6). As the reference to these local statistics makes clear, in its specific case studies, this book will be of interest primarily to educators and researchers in the UK. But 35 36 its conceptual framework, incisive general critique, and concrete recommendations for practice 37 can be read transnationally; audiences in the United States, where the book is widely available. will find much that is transferable to their particular teaching and learning contexts. 38

The scope of Sutherland's inquiry is guite broad. She offers a brief historical overview of 39 40 technology in education, a discussion of its possibilities and limitations, and a general critique of 41 dominant approaches in the field. One element that is missing from her study is an engagement with specific forms of digital literacy. Her belief that "paper-based forms of literacy are still 42 43 important aspects of education" goes some length in explaining this absence; however, from the standpoint of the audience, in-depth coverage of digital literacy would also be extremely useful 44 (111). For while her commitment to paper-based forms of literacy is meritorious, readers 45 seeking detailed analyses of instructional technologies will need to look elsewhere. Sutherland 46 focuses instead on a broader frame of metacognition, or the reflection upon one's own thinking, 47 48 and transfer, or the application of previously acquired knowledge to new situations. She uses 49 the metaphor of a "toolkit," from which students can select and apply their abilities across tasks (117). The book is at times guite general, and could be said to be lacking in specificity. This is 50

2

its one shortcoming. Sutherland overcomes this deficit quite substantively and productively in
her theoretical framing of the general issues she raises. The conclusions she draws from this
framework have significant implications for the way we approach students, classrooms, and
technology.

55 The author argues that "the purpose of education is to develop the capabilities that enable young people to both flourish as human beings and participate in society" (11). She 56 57 derives the term "capabilities," an important vocabulary for her social justice perspective, from the work of economist Amartya Sen. She uses his definition and elaboration of the term to make 58 an important distinction between educational approaches. The "dominant" approaches, in her 59 view, understand students in terms of "human capital," to be measured through the rubric of 60 "economic growth." For a counterpoint, she looks to Sen, her most important interlocutor. His 61 62 conceptual framework of "human flourishing" understands capabilities as "a person's ability to 63 do valuable acts or reach valuable states of being;" the perspective seeks to articulate "the alternative combinations of things a person is able to do or be" (32). Sutherland argues that 64 emergent technologies are resources upon which individual students can draw in order to 65 further and deepen their capabilities. I would strongly recommend her application of Sen's 66 67 theoretical frame to those who, like Sutherland, are working toward an ideal of education as a foundational element of well-being, development, and justice. 68

This framework lends complexity to the disarmingly straightforward central argument ofthe book. She writes,

A curriculum for a just society has to recognize the competing ideologies in the 21st century curriculum, which centre around a tension between knowledge and skills. I suggest that there is a divide with respect to the curriculum being offered in schools, and that this divide tends to be patterned along social class lines. Schools that serve predominantly working-class communities are more likely to have adopted a skills-based curriculum, with an emphasis on vocational courses, whereas schools that serve more

3

77

78

middle-class communities are more likely to offer a knowledge-based curriculum, with an emphasis on academic courses. (10)

Sutherland's strongest observational point is her attention to this tension between traditions of 79 80 disseminating knowledge and developing employable skills. Her claim, following this 81 observation, is that the tendency to focus solely on skills development within a vocational trajectory limits the capabilities and opportunities of low-income students. Therefore, educators 82 83 working in communities marginalized by economic hardship must insist upon a robust 84 knowledge based curriculum, perhaps alongside skills development. Sutherland suggests that technology in the classroom can support both imperatives, but only if engaged thoughtfully; 85 otherwise "there is likely to be very little impact of digital technologies on teaching and learning" 86 (28). 87

88 As Sutherland's arguments above make clear, her findings and frameworks are 89 actionable. Advocacy of knowledge based curriculum is her primary recommendation. Secondly, 90 she writes that there is an urgent need to take "collective responsibility when tackling the manifestly severe injustices that exist with respect to education" (131). To make education 91 92 reform a truly collective endeavor, she encourages the emphasis of collaboration over 93 competition, proposing a multifaceted strategy for implementing cooperative work. First, she argues that students must learn collaboration as a foundational skill; second, she endorses 94 95 "academic researchers working cooperatively with teachers," which, she notes, "is very different 96 from the current system in which educational academics are usually separated in their practice of research from teacher practitioners" (136). Lastly, in order for cooperation to flourish, at the 97 level of the student, the teacher, and the researcher, schools must become more flexible 98 99 institutions (132). Having undertaken an extensive collaborative project with teachers, which she 100 details in her eighth chapter, one that resulted in the development of a new model of 101 professional development for educators, Sutherland is in an authoritative position to advocate 102 cooperative practices (135).

4

103 Education and Social Justice in a Digital Age will be most useful to those whose work is 104 situated at the intersection of education and social justice issues related to class difference. For education researchers who observe and collaborate with low-income school districts, 105 106 Sutherland's work will be an important theoretical touchstone. Instructors in such districts will 107 find that the book substantiates the work they are doing to support students beyond vocational 108 training. Furthermore, if her work finds an audience in those who write education policy, her 109 findings have the potential to inform the funding structures that underwrite programs aimed at broadening access for low-income students. She does direct one of her arguments, in particular, 110 toward policy makers; she writes against high-stakes performance measures, which emphasize 111 112 skills over knowledge, and argues instead for "radical changes to the assessment system so that teachers can focus on teaching for engagement with knowledge, as opposed to 'teaching to 113 114 the test" (11). For each of these distinct audiences, Rosamund Sutherland makes an important 115 contribution to the reshaping of contemporary educational practices by re-framing the critical discussion of 21st century literacies as a social justice issue. 116