

2002

Corn Weed Management Studies

Brent A. Pringnitz

Iowa State University, bpring@iastate.edu

Robert G. Hartzler

Iowa State University, hartzler@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Pringnitz, Brent A. and Hartzler, Robert G., "Corn Weed Management Studies" (2002). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1653.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/1653

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Corn Weed Management Studies

Abstract

Several studies were conducted in corn to evaluate commercially available herbicides for weed control, crop phytotoxicity, and crop yield. Various herbicide treatment combinations and application methods were evaluated.

Keywords

Agronomy

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences

Corn Weed Management Studies

Brent A. Pringnitz, extension program specialist
Robert G. Hartzler, professor
Department of Agronomy

Introduction

Several studies were conducted in corn to evaluate commercially available herbicides for weed control, crop phytotoxicity, and crop yield. Various herbicide treatment combinations and application methods were evaluated.

Materials and Methods

The studies were established using a randomized complete block design with three or four replications. Herbicide evaluation plot size was 10 × 25 feet. For studies that included yield evaluation, the plot size was 15 × 25 feet.

Herbicides were applied in 20 gallons of water/acre. Visual estimates of percentage weed control and crop injury data were made throughout June and July 2001. Weed control observations were compared with an untreated control and made on a 0–100 rating scale, with 0% equaling no weed control. Crop injury ratings are on a 0–100 rating scale, with 0 representing no crop injury. Weed species and populations evaluated included 5–10 foxtail/ft², 170 waterhemp/ft², 100 lambsquarters/ft², and 5–10 velvetleaf/ft².

The soil was a Canisteo Nicollet clay loam with a pH 6.95 and 6.4% organic matter. The 2000 crop was soybeans. Tillage included two cultivation passes in the spring. Fertilization included 250 lbs/acre 18–46–0 and 249 lbs/acre of 82–0–0. On May 14, Golden Harvest 8562 corn was planted 1.75 inches deep at 29,900 seeds/acre, in 30-inch rows. Herbicide application dates and crops stages are presented in Table 1. Precipitation data is presented in Table 2.

Results and Discussion

KC-systems (Table 3). This experiment compared numerous herbicide systems appropriate for north-central Iowa. Timely rainfall shortly after planting and herbicide application provided good activity with pre-emergence programs. The first evaluations were made on the same day as the post-emergence treatments were applied, so these ratings do not reflect the activity of the post treatment. No significant injury was seen with any treatment (data not shown). Favorable conditions resulted in excellent control with most treatments. The total post treatments (13–15) provided a lower level of waterhemp control than treatments that included a pre-emergence herbicide.

KC-tillage (Table 4). The objective of this experiment was to evaluate the benefit of cultivation in weed management. Several herbicide programs were applied at either full or half the recommended rate, with or without cultivation. In most herbicide treatments, there was no benefit to cultivation when herbicide was applied at the full rate. For example, Dual II Magnum followed by Northstar provided greater than 88% control of foxtail when applied at full rate, regardless of cultivation treatment. At half-rate, this treatment provided only 81% control of foxtail without cultivation, but control increased to 92% with the addition of cultivation. The study confirms that inclusion of cultivation in weed management reduces the amount of herbicide required.

KC-Callisto (Table 5). The objective of this experiment was to evaluate the new herbicide Callisto (mesotrione). No significant corn injury was observed with any treatment. All Callisto treatments provided excellent control of velvetleaf, lambsquarter, and waterhemp. No benefit was seen by addition of atrazine, but the field did not contain weeds tolerant of Callisto.

Callisto provided better control of broadleaf weeds than several standard treatments.

Acknowledgments

BASF and Syngenta provided support for these studies. Bryan Kinneer, Golden Harvest, provided seed for these studies. The authors also acknowledge Dave Rueber, farm superintendent, for his assistance.

Table 1. 2001 treatment dates and crop stages in Kanawha, Iowa.

Treatment	Corn	
	Date	Crop stage
Preemergence (PRE)	May 14	--
Postemergence (KC-systems and KC-Callisto)	June 11	6 in.
Postemergence (KC-tillage)	June 20	16 in.
Cultivation (KC-tillage)	June 27	26 in.

Table 2. Weekly rainfall totals and largest single rainfall following planting.

Weeks after planting	Total rainfall (inches)	Largest single rainfall event (inches)
1	2.19	2.05
2	1.71	0.86
3	0.35	0.16
4	1.56	1.06
5	1.93	1.53
6	0.00	0.00

Table 3. Evaluation of herbicide systems in corn (KC-systems).

Treatment	Rate	Unit	Timing	Foxtail	Velvetleaf	Lambsqt.	Waterhemp	Foxtail	Velvetleaf	Lambsqt.	Waterhemp
				6/11	6/11	6/11	6/11	7/10	7/10	7/10	7/10
----- % weed control -----											
1 Dual II Magnum	2	PT/A	pre	94.0 a	97.7 a	99.0 a	99.0 a	93.3 a	99.0 a	99.0 a	97.7 a
Callisto	6	FL OZ/A	pre								
2 Dual II Magnum	2	PT/A	pre	96.0 a	97.7 a	99.0 a	99.0 a	97.7 a	99.0 a	99.0 a	99.0 a
Callisto	6	FL OZ/A	pre								
Atrazine	1	LB A/A	pre								
3 Balance Pro	2.25	FL OZ/A	pre	97.7 a	96.0 ab	97.7 ab	99.0 a	97.7 a	94.7 a	99.0 a	97.7 a
Surpass	1.25	PT/A	pre								
Atrazine	1	LB A/A	pre								
4 Dual II Magnum	2	PT/A	pre	93.3 a	76.7 c	70.0 c	91.3 ab	94.6 a	90.5 a	98.7 a	99.1 a
Callisto	3	FL OZ/A	post								
Crop Oil Conc	1	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2.5	% V/V	post								
5 Outlook	16	FL OZ/A	pre	95.0 a	83.3 abc	71.7 c	88.3 b	94.3 a	91.3 a	86.7 b	86.7 ab
Distinct	3	OZ/A	post								
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – AMS	3	LB/A	post								
6 Harness Xtra	1	QT/A	pre	99.0 a	86.7 abc	95.0 ab	97.7 a	96.0 a	88.3 a	97.7 a	85.0 ab
Distinct	3	OZ/A	post								
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – AMS	3	LB/A	post								
7 Bicep Lite II Magnum	2.6	PT/A	pre	97.7 a	93.0 ab	94.7 ab	97.7 a	90.0 a	88.0 a	93.0 ab	86.7 ab
Hornet WDG	2.4	OZ/A	pre								
8 Dual II Magnum	1.7	PT/A	pre	97.3 a	88.3 abc	86.7 ab	93.6 ab	90.4 a	97.9 a	96.9 a	88.3 ab
Northstar	5	OZ/A	post								
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – AMS	3	LB/A	post								
9 Degree	4	PT/A	pre	99.0 a	94.7 ab	94.7 ab	99.0 a	94.3 a	93.3 a	96.0 a	93.3 ab
Aim	0.33	OZ/A	post								
Atrazine	1	LB A/A	post								
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
10 Axiom	20	OZ/A	pre	99.0 a	93.0 ab	97.7 ab	99.0 a	96.0 a	86.7 a	97.7 a	90.0 ab
Buctril + Atrazine	2	PT/A	post								
11 Outlook	16	FL OZ/A	pre	94.7 a	88.3 abc	94.7 ab	97.7 a	96.3 a	89.7 a	99.0 a	93.3 ab
Marksman	3.5	PT/A	post								
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – AMS	2.5	LB/A	post								
12 Dual II Magnum	1	PT/A	pre	99.0 a	81.7 bc	85.0 b	91.3 ab	97.7 a	91.7 a	97.7 a	88.3 ab
Basis Gold	14	OZ/A	post								
Crop Oil Conc	1	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
13 Basis Gold	14	OZ/A	post	0.0 b	0.0 d	0.0 d	0.0 c	97.7 a	86.7 a	97.7 a	85.0 ab
Crop Oil Conc	1	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
14 Accent Gold	2.9	OZ/A	post	0.0 b	0.0 d	0.0 d	0.0 c	96.0 a	94.7 a	97.7 a	66.7 c
Crop Oil Conc	1	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
15 Celebrity Plus	4.75	OZ/A	post	0.0 b	0.0 d	0.0 d	0.0 c	99.0 a	89.7 a	92.7 ab	80.0 b
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
16 Leadoff	1.9	PT/A	pre	92.7 a	88.3 abc	95.0 ab	95.0 ab	93.0 a	94.7 a	99.0 a	90.0 ab
Accent Gold	1.5	OZ/A	post								
Atrazine	0.5	LB A/A	post								
Crop Oil Conc	1	% V/V	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
17 Leadoff	1.9	PT/A	pre	94.7 a	91.7 ab	93.0 ab	96.3 a	94.7 a	91.3 a	97.7 a	96.3 a
Accent Gold	1.5	OZ/A	post								
Atrazine	0.5	LB A/A	post								
Crop Oil Conc	1.25	QT/A	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
18 Guardsman	1.9	PT/A	pre	91.0 a	86.7 abc	91.3 ab	96.3 a	91.3 a	90.0 a	96.0 a	86.7 ab
Celebrity Plus	2.5	OZ/A	post								
Fertilizer -- 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
Non-ionic surfactant	0.25	% V/V	post								
19 Leadoff	1.9	PT/A	pre	96.6 a	88.9 abc	94.8 ab	97.3 a	92.4 a	90.8 a	94.7 Ab	85.1 ab
Steadfast	0.5	OZ/A	post								
Clarity	4	FL OZ/A	post								
Crop Oil Conc	1	QT/A	post								
Fertilizer – 28% UAN	2	QT/A	post								
20 Untreated											
LSD (P = .05)				7.8	8.5	7.7	4.9	7.6	9.7	6.4	8.4

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P = .05, Student-Newman-Keuls).

Table 4. Evaluation of various herbicide programs in combination with cultivation (KC-tillage).

Treatment	Rate	Unit	Timing	Foxtail	Lambsqt.	Velvetleaf	Foxtail	Lambsqt.	Velvetleaf	Crop Yield						
				6/20	6/20	6/20	7/10	7/10	7/10		10/27					
1	Balance Pro	2.25	FL OZ/A	pre	99.0	a	99.0	a	99.0	a	98.0	a	145.7	cd		
	Surpass	40	FL OZ/A	pre												
	Atrazine	1	LB A/A	pre												
	No cultivation															
2	Balance Pro	2.25	FL OZ/A	pre	97.0	a	99.0	a	99.0	a	93.5	a	98.0	a		
	Surpass	40	FL OZ/A	pre												
	Atrazine	1	LB A/A	pre												
	Cultivation															
3	Balance Pro	1.13	FL OZ/A	pre	92.3	ab	98.0	a	98.0	a	89.8	ab	94.3	a		
	Surpass	20	FL OZ/A	pre												
	Atrazine	0.5	LB A/A	pre												
	No cultivation															
4	Balance Pro	1.13	FL OZ/A	pre	98.0	a	98.0	a	96.8	a	98.5	a	99.0	a		
	Surpass	20	FL OZ/A	pre												
	Atrazine	0.5	LB A/A	pre												
	Cultivation															
5	Leadoff	30	FL OZ/A	pre	83.8	b	96.0	a	87.5	ab	94.5	a	98.0	a		
	Steadfast	0.5	OZ/A	post												
	Clarity	4	FL OZ/A	post												
	COC	1	QT/A	post												
	28% UAN	2	QT/A	post												
	No cultivation															
6	Leadoff	30	FL OZ/A	pre	90.0	ab	91.3	a	82.5	bc	99.0	a	99.0	a		
	Steadfast	0.5	OZ/A	post												
	Clarity	4	FL OZ/A	post												
	COC	1	QT/A	post												
	28% UAN	2	QT/A	post												
	Cultivation															
7	Leadoff	15	FL OZ/A	pre	75.0	c	81.3	b	73.8	c	92.3	a	97.0	a		
	Steadfast	0.25	OZ/A	post												
	Clarity	2	FL OZ/A	post												
	COC	1	QT/A	post												
	28% UAN	2	QT/A	post												
	No cultivation															
8	Leadoff	15	FL OZ/A	pre	73.8	c	77.5	bc	75.0	bc	99.0	a	98.0	a		
	Steadfast	0.25	OZ/A	post												
	Clarity	2	FL OZ/A	post												
	COC	1	QT/A	post												
	28% UAN	2	QT/A	post												
	Cultivation															
9	Dual II	2	PT/A	pre	93.5	ab	83.8	b	83.8	bc	88.5	ab	98.0	a		
	Northstar	5	OZ/A	post												
	NIS	0.25	% V/V	post												
	AMS	3	LB/A	post												
	No cultivation															
10	Dual II	2	PT/A	pre	92.3	ab	77.5	bc	86.3	bc	93.3	a	99.0	a		
	Northstar	5	OZ/A	post												
	NIS	0.25	% V/V	post												
	AMS	3	LB/A	post												
	Cultivation															
11	Dual II	1	PT/A	pre	91.3	ab	63.8	d	75.0	bc	81.3	b	88.8	b		
	Northstar	2.5	OZ/A	post												
	NIS	0.25	% V/V	post												
	AMS	3	LB/A	post												
	No cultivation															
12	Dual II	1	PT/A	pre	87.5	ab	70.0	cd	76.3	bc	92.5	a	99.0	a		
	Northstar	2.5	OZ/A	post												
	NIS	0.25	% V/V	post												
	AMS	3	LB/A	post												
	Cultivation															
13	Weedy check													141.2		
	LSD (P = .05)				7.2		6.9		8.3		7.2		5.0		5.5	14.6

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P = .05, Student-Newman-Keuls).