IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2010

Fungicide Evaluation in Washington Creeping Bentgrass at Greens Height

Mark L. Gleason

Iowa State University, mgleason@iastate.edu

Brady S. North

Iowa State University, bsnorth@iastate.edu

Jean C. Batzer *Iowa State University*, jbatzer@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports

Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Plant Pathology</u> Commons

Recommended Citation

Gleason, Mark L.; North, Brady S.; and Batzer, Jean C., "Fungicide Evaluation in Washington Creeping Bentgrass at Greens Height" (2010). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 336. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/336

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Fungicide Evaluation in Washington Creeping Bentgrass at Greens Height

Abstract

Fungicide evaluations for control of brown spot in greens height creeping bentgrass were conducted at Veenker Memorial Golf Course, Ames, IA.

Keywords

RFR A9013, Plant Pathology

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Plant Pathology

Fungicide Evaluation in Washington Creeping Bentgrass at Greens Height

RFR-A9013

Mark Gleason, professor Brady North, research assistant Jean Batzer, assistant scientist Department of Plant Pathology

Introduction

Fungicide evaluations for control of brown spot in greens height creeping bentgrass were conducted at Veenker Memorial Golf Course, Ames, IA.

Materials and Methods

Trials were conducted at the WOI green of Creeping bentgrass (cv. Washington) and was maintained at 0.16-in. cutting height. Fungicides selected for activity against dollar spot were applied using a backpack sprayer at 30 psi and a dilution rate of 5 gal/1000 sq ft. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. All plots measured 4 ft \times 5 ft. Spray applications were initiated on June 4, except for treatment 26, which was initiated May 15. Reapplications at designated intervals were made until August 20. Periodic assessments of disease symptoms were initiated July 2. Visual estimates of brown patch disease severity were made with a qualitative scale of 0-5, where 0 = no disease; 1 = 1-5%; 2 = 6-10%; 3 = 11-25%; 4 = 26-50%; 5 = > 50% plot symptomatic.

A turf quality assessment of 1 to 10 (1 = poorest, 10 = best, 6 = adequate) was taken every three weeks beginning July 23. Data were analyzed using the GLM procedure in SAS and mean separations were determined using Fisher's protected LSD at $P \le 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Weather patterns were exceptionally cool and Brown patch severity on untreated subplots was moderate, whereas dollar spots symptoms did not occur on any treatment including the unsprayed check. Most of the tested products suppressed brown patch significantly ($P \le 0.05$) in comparison with the unsprayed check, with several products resulting in < 5% disease severity (Table 1). No phytotoxicity symptoms were observed during the trial.

Differences in turf quality increased as the season progressed (Table 2). Assessment values ranged from acceptable to unacceptable levels. Quality substantially dropped in the unsprayed control and in some fungicide treatments, most notably the Emerald 70WG combinations, but remained unchanged and in some cases improved over the season treatment period.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Hort Station turf crew for maintenance of turf during 2009.

Table 1. Brown patch ratings in a comparison of fungicides applied to turfgrass greens.

Table	1. Brown patch ratings in a comparison of fungicides	Interval	Brown patch (0–5 rating) ^{x, z}			
TRT	Products and rates/1000 sq ft	(days)	July 2	July 23	Aug. 7	Aug. 20
1	Unsprayed check		1.3 ab	2.0 a	4.0 a	5.0 a
2	Gowan Rubigan AS 0.5 fl oz	14	0.7 a-c	0.0 c	1.0 b-e	0.3 e
3	Gowan Rubigan AS 1.0 fl oz	14	1.7 a	1.7 ab	2.7 a-c	0.7 e
4	Gowan Rubigan AS 0.5 fl oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	14	0.3 bc	0.0 c	1.3 b-e	3.7 ab
5	Gowan Rubigan AS 1.0 fl oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	0.0 c	1.3 a-c	1.7 b-e	2.0 b-e
6	Gowan Rubigan AS 1.0 fl oz + GWN-9790 0.44 oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	0.3 bc	0.7 a-c	1.7 b-e	3.0 a-d
7	Gowan GWN-9790 0.44 oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	0.7 a-c	0.7 a-c	2.3 a-d	3.7 ab
8	Gowan GWN-9790 0.29 oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	0.0 c	0.0 c	1.0 b-e	3.3 a-c
9	Gowan Banner Maxx 1.0 oz	21	0.7 a-c	0.7 a-c	0.7 с-е	0.7 e
10	Bayer Banner Maxx 2.0 oz	14	0.3 bc	0.3 bc	1.0 b-e	1.7 b-e
11	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Iprodione Pro 2.0 fl oz	14	0.0 c	0.7 a-c	0.7 с-е	2.0 b-e
12	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Iprodione Pro 3.0 fl oz	14	0.7 a-c	0.7 a-c	2.0 a-e	3.7 ab
13	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 1.8 oz	14	0.3 bc	1.0 a-c	1.7 b-e	4.7 a
14	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.25 oz	14	0.3 bc	1.0 a-c	2.3 a-d	1.3 с-е
15	BASF Curalan EG 50WG 1.0 oz	14	0.7 a-c	1.3 a-c	2.3 a-d	3.0 a-d
16	Valent Tourney 50WD 0.28 oz	14	0.0 c	0.7 a-c	1.3 b-e	0.3 e
17	Valent Tourney 50WD 0.37 oz	14	0.7 a-c	1.7 ab	3.0 ab	0.3 e
18	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 2.8 fl oz	7	0.3 bc	0.7 a-c	1.0 b-e	0.0 e
19	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.2 fl oz	14	1.3 ab	0.7 a-c	1.7 b-de	1.0 de
20	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz	14	0.3 bc	0.7 a-c	1.3 b-e	1.0 de
21	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 4.5 fl oz	14	0.3 bc	0.3 bc	0.0 e	0.7 e
22	Bayer Concert EC 5 fl oz	14	0.0 c	1.3 a-c	2.7 a-c	1.0 de
23	Bayer Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz	14	0.3 bc	0.0 c	0.7c-e	0.7 e
24	Bayer Triton Flo SC 0.75 fl oz	14	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.7 с-е	0.3 e
25	Bayer Triton Flo SC 1.0 fl oz	14	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.3 de	0.0 e
	Bayer Bayleton Flo 1.0 fl oz 2 nd spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 3 rd spray Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz 4 th spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz					
26	5 th spray Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz 6 th spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 7 th spray Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz 8 th spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 9 th spray Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz	14	1.0 a-c	0.70 a-c	2.0 a-e	1.7 b-e
	LSD (0.05) ^x		1.3	1.4	2.1	2.1

^xMeans followed by the same letter are not significantly different within column according to Fisher's protected LSD at $P \le 0.05$.

²Brown patch rating scale: 0 = no disease; 1 = 1-5%; 2 = 6-10%; 3 = 11-25%; 4 = 26-50%; 5 = > 50% plot symptomatic.

Table 2. Turf quality ratings in a comparison of fungicides applied to turfgrass greens.

		Interval	Quality rating 1–10 ^{x, z}		
TRT	Products and rates/1000 sq ft	(days)	July 23	Aug. 7	Aug. 20
1	Unsprayed check		5.0 d	4.0 f	3.3 h
2	Gowan Rubigan AS 0.5 fl oz	14	6.0 a-d	5.7 b-e	6.0 a-e
3	Gowan Rubigan AS 1.0 fl oz	14	5.7 b-d	4.3 ef	6.3 a-d
4	Gowan Rubigan AS 0.5 fl oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	14	7.0 ab	5.7 b-e	4.3 f-h
5	Gowan Rubigan AS 1.0 fl oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	5.7 b-d	5.3 b-f	5.3 c-f
6	Gowan Rubigan AS 1.0 fl oz + GWN-9790 0.44 oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	5.7 b-d	5.3 b-f	5.0 d-g
7	Gowan GWN-9790 0.44 oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	6.7 a-c	5.0 c-f	5.0 d-g
8	Gowan GWN-9790 0.29 oz + GWN-9812 1 qt/acre	21	6.7 a-c	6.0 a-d	4.7 e-h
9	Gowan Banner Maxx 1.0 oz	21	6.3 a-d	6.0 a-d	6.3 a-d
10	Bayer Banner Maxx 2.0 oz	14	7.0 ab	6.0 a-d	5.7 b-f
11	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Iprodione Pro 2.0 fl oz	14	6.3 a-d	6.0 a-d	5.3 c-f
12	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Iprodione Pro 3.0 fl oz	14	6.7 a-c	5.7 b-e	5.0 d-g
13	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 1.8 oz	14	6.0 a-d	5.7 b-e	3.7 gh
14	BASF Emerald 70WG 0.13 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.25 oz	14	5.7 b-d	5.3 b-f	6.0 a-e
15	BASF Curalan EG 50WG 1.0 oz	14	5.3 cd	5.0 c-f	5.3 c-f
16	Valent Tourney 50WD 0.28 oz	14	7.0 ab	5.7 b-e	6.7 a-c
17	Valent Tourney 50WD 0.37 oz	14	5.3 cd	4.7 d-f	6.7 a-c
18	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 2.8 fl oz	7	6.3 a-d	6.3 a-c	6.7 a-c
19	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.2 fl oz	14	6.0 a-d	5.3 b-f	5.7 b-f
20	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz	14	6.7 a-c	5.3 b-f	7.0 ab
21	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 4.5 fl oz	14	7.0 ab	6.3 a-c	6.3 a-f
22	Bayer Concert EC 5 fl oz	14	5.7 b-d	4.3 ef	6.0 a-e
23	Bayer Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz	14	6.7 a-c	6.3 a-c	6.7 a-c
24	Bayer Triton Flo SC 0.75 fl oz	14	7.3 a	7.3 a	7.3 a
25	Bayer Triton Flo SC 1.0 fl oz	14	7.0 ab	6.7 ab	7.3 a
	Bayer Bayleton Flo 1.0 fl oz 2 nd spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 3 rd spray Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz				
26	4 th spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 5 th spray Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz 6 th spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 7 th spray Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz 8 th spray Chipco Signature WG 4.0 oz 9 th spray Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz	14	6.7 a-c	5.3 b-f	5.7 b-f
-	LSD (0.05) ^x		1.6	1.5	1.6

^xMeans followed by the same letter are not significantly different within column according to Fisher's protected LSD at $P \le 0.05$. A turf quality assessment of 1 to 10 (1 = poorest, 10 = best, 6 = acceptable)