IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2008

Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Soil Carbon and Soil Productivity

Mahdi Al-Kaisi

Iowa State University, malkaisi@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports

Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Agronomy and Crop</u> Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Al-Kaisi, Mahdi, "Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Soil Carbon and Soil Productivity" (2008). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 649.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/649

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Soil Carbon and Soil Productivity

Abstract

Tillage system and crop rotation have major long-term effects on soil productivity and soil quality components such as soil carbon and other soil physical, biological, and chemical properties. In addition, both tillage and crop rotation have effects on weed and soil disease control. There is a need for well-defined, long term tillage and crop rotation studies across the different soils and climate conditions in the state. The objective of this study was to evaluate the long-term effects of different tillage systems and crop rotations on soil productivity.

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences

Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Soil Carbon and Soil Productivity

Mahdi Al-Kaisi, associate professor Department of Agronomy

Introduction

Tillage system and crop rotation have major long-term effects on soil productivity and soil quality components such as soil carbon and other soil physical, biological, and chemical properties. In addition, both tillage and crop rotation have effects on weed and soil disease control. There is a need for well-defined, long-term tillage and crop rotation studies across the different soils and climate conditions in the state. The objective of this study was to evaluate the long-term effects of different tillage systems and crop rotations on soil productivity.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted on eight Iowa State University Research and Demonstration Farms in 2002 and continued through 2007. Treatments include five tillage systems (no-till, strip-tillage, chisel plow, deep ripper, and moldboard plow) and two crop rotations of corn-corn-soybean and corn-soybean across the five tillage systems and several soil associations. Initial soil samples were collected in 2002 prior to implementing the tillage treatments. Soil samples were subsequently collected every two years. The soil samples were collected from all sites for depths 0-6, 6-12, 12-18, and 18-24 in. and were analyzed for total carbon and total nitrogen. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with four replications.

The plot size was 20 rows by 65 ft. Yield was determined from the center four rows of each plot. Long-term effects of tillage and crop rotation on total soil carbon and total nitrogen will be monitored on a bi-yearly basis. Seasonal measurements such as nitrogen use efficiency, soil bulk density, and infiltration rate were conducted on selected sites depending on availability of funding.

Results and Discussion

The results show some differences in corn yield between tillage systems (Table 1 and 2). Generally, no-till and strip-tillage show some yield decrease, especially in second-year corn when compared with other tillage systems. Although, in dry years no-till and strip-tillage corn yields were essentially the same or higher than those with other tillage systems.

Under the corn-corn-soybean rotation, the moldboard and chisel plow treatment corn yields were greater than the no-till treatment, but all other treatments were not different.

Regardless of the tillage system or crop rotation, soybean yields show no differences within all years.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bernard Havlovic and Jeff Butler for their time and labor for plot setup, planting, and harvesting.

Table 1. Corn and soybean yields under a corn-soybean rotation at the ISU Armstrong Research Farm. Yields are corrected to 15.5 and 13.0% for corn and soybean, respectively.

	Corn (<u>C</u> -s)			S	Soybean (c- <u>S</u>)				
	2002 ^b	2004	2006	2003 ^b	2005	2007			
	bushels/acre								
No-till	92.2	214.9	195.5	39.8	55.6	62.4			
Strip-tillage	91.4	218.9	202.4	38.3	55.6	61.9			
Deep rip	91.0	235.1	206.9	39.7	60.8	61.9			
Chisel plow	88.3	232.0	206.9	35.8	56.6	62.4			
Moldboard plow	107.4	226.3	213.1	33.8	56.7	63.5			
$LSD_{(0.05)}^{a}$	20.8	14.2	17.1	3.5	4.6	3.9			
5-tillage average	94.1	225.4	205.0	37.5	57.1	62.42			

^aLeast significant differences (LSD_(0.05)) are based on a Fisher test. Yield differences greater than the least significant difference are statistically different.

Table 2. Corn and soybean yields under a corn-corn-soybean rotation at the ISU Armstrong Research Farm. Yields are corrected to 15.5 and 13.0% for corn and soybean, respectively.

	Corn (C-c-s)		Corn (Corn (c-C-s)		Soybean (c-c-S)			
	2003 ^b	2006	2004	2007	2002^{b}	2005			
		bushels/acre							
No-till	151.8	196.5	221.0	148.1	35.1	56.3			
Strip-tillage	142.7	208.2	224.3	155.9	36.4	56.8			
Deep rip	146.3	209.6	231.8	170.6	34.8	61.0			
Chisel plow	136.8	211.9	228.7	182.7	36.7	59.1			
Moldboard plow	133.8	213.1	238.2	177.7	35.0	55.4			
$LSD_{(0.05)}^{a}$	17.5	14.5	11.5	10.9	6.4	4.2			
5-tillage average	142.3	207.9	228.8	167	35.6	57.7			

^aLeast significant differences (LSD_(0.05)) are based on a Fisher test. Yield differences greater than the least significant difference are statistically different.

^bWeather conditions in 2002 and 2003 were 12.25 and 10.51 in. of precipitation below normal.

^bWeather conditions in 2002 and 2003 were 12.25 and 10.51 in. of precipitation below normal.