IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2008

Polyethylene Mulches and Preplant Incorporated Herbicides for Tomato Production

Henry G. Taber *Iowa State University*, taber@iastate.edu

Vincent Lawson Iowa State University, vlawson@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Horticulture</u> <u>Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Taber, Henry G. and Lawson, Vincent, "Polyethylene Mulches and Preplant Incorporated Herbicides for Tomato Production" (2008). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 729. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/729

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Polyethylene Mulches and Preplant Incorporated Herbicides for Tomato Production

Abstract

Fresh market tomato production consists of selecting a well-drained site, an early variety, plastic mulch, possibly a row cover, and transplanting in the spring as early as possible. This procedure can result in advanced maturity and top early marketable yields when prices are usually highest. Our previous research has shown wavelength selective plastic (SRM-olive or red) coupled with a row cover has given consistent top, early yields.Clear plastic was also superior provided there was excellent weed control. Early production was highly correlated (r = 0.74) to maximum soil temperature at the 4-in. depth. Thus, clear plastic would be the mulch of choice.

Keywords

Horticulture

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Horticulture

Polyethylene Mulches and Preplant Incorporated Herbicides for Tomato Production

Henry G. Taber, professor Department of Horticulture Vince Lawson, farm superintendent

Introduction

Fresh market tomato production consists of selecting a well-drained site, an early variety, plastic mulch, possibly a row cover, and transplanting in the spring as early as possible. This procedure can result in advanced maturity and top early marketable yields when prices are usually highest. Our previous research has shown wavelength selective plastic (SRM-olive or red) coupled with a row cover has given consistent top, early yields. Clear plastic was also superior provided there was excellent weed control. Early production was highly correlated (r = 0.74) to maximum soil temperature at the 4-in. depth. Thus, clear plastic would be the mulch of choice.

The major preplant incorporated herbicide for weed control is Treflan. But Treflan is known to cause plant stunting, particularly under wet, cool spring conditions (see Vegetable Progress Report 2000 at:

http://www.public.iastate.edu/~taber/Extension/ Second.htm). Devrinol and Sencor are two other herbicide possibilities but with limitations. Devrinol has limited weed control effectiveness and Sencor can be phytotoxic. Our objective was to evaluate plastic mulch types with preplant incorporated herbicide combinations for early production.

Materials and Methods

The principal soil type is a well-drained, coarse sand with organic matter content of 1 to 1.5%. The various herbicide treatments were applied to the soil surface on May 9 with a backpack small plot CO₂ sprayer. Treatments were incorporated with a rototiller and the plastic mulch applied the same day. Tomato transplants, Mountain Spring, were set May 10. Other cultural practices included trickle irrigation, pruning the plants to the first flower cluster, and staking and tieing according to the Florida stake and weave system.

Early-season growth was evaluated by obtaining plant dry weight, flower cluster number, and the number of open flowers on June 5. Harvest began July 17 and continued weekly to August 7, for five harvests. Fruit were sorted into marketable and unmarketable categories. The unmarketable or cull fruit were the result of cracking, blotchy ripening, rots, or too small in size. Marketable fruit were sized.

Results and Discussion

The 2007 growing season temperatures were considerably above normal. May average daily temperature of 68.5°F was 6.5 degrees above normal. June was one degree below normal. Thus, differences between the plastic mulch types for warming the soil (rootzone) were minimal.

By May 15 the plots with Sencor began to show leaf chlorosis. This effect was reflected in smaller plant size (27% less), and less open flowers (31% less) compared with the Treflan and Devrinol treatments (Table 1).

Early yield was affected by the addition of the Sencor herbicide, a 58% reduction in total early yield compared with the Treflan and Devrinol treatments (Table 2). Marketable and cull yields were similarly affected. However, the effect was one of delayed maturation because total seasonal yield was not affected (325 cwt/acre). No other plastic or herbicide comparison affected early yield. The tank mix of Sencor and Treflan improves the control of common ragweed and smartweed, but Sencor should be used with caution as a preplant incorporated option on coarse, sandy soils. Although we used the lowest labeled rate, visual injury was noted and early yields were reduced. The same treatments (with higher labeled rates) were tested at the Horticulture Research Station on loam soils. With loam soils, there was no effect of Sencor application on early or total yields. See that station's report for results.

| Table 1. Polyethylene mulch and herbicide treatment influence on plant growth and flower development, | |
|---|--|
| June 5, 2007. | |

| Treatment | Dry wt., g ² | Cluster no. | Open flwr no. |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Black plastic, no herbicide | 37.3 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| 2. Black plastic, Treflan @ 0.5 lb ai | 30.5 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| 3. Black plastic, Devrinol 50DF @ 1 lb ai. | 34.6 | 4.3 | 6.3 |
| 4. Clear plastic, Treflan | 34.0 | 4.1 | 6.1 |
| 5. Clear plastic, Devrinol | 42.3 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
| 6. Clear plastic, Treflan + Sencor 75Df @ 0.25 lb ai. | 26.5 | 3.6 | 5.5 |
| 7. Clear plastic, Devrinol + Sencor | 29.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Contrast Comparison ¹ | | | |
| Black plastic vs. Clear plastic (2,3 vs. 4,5) | ns | ns | ns |
| Devrinol vs. Treflan (3,5 vs. 2,4) | ns | ns | ns |
| | | | |
| Treflan or Devrinol vs. with Sencor (4,5 vs. 6,7) | 38.2 vs. 28* | 4.3 vs. 3.5* | 6.4 vs. 4.4** |
| Control (1) to all others $(2,3,4,5,6,7)$ | ns | ns | ns |

Control (1) to all others (2,3,4,5,6,7) ns ns ¹Comparison: ns = not significant, * or ** indicates significant at the 5% and 1% level, respectively.

 2 Dry wt. = shoot dry weight, expressed as grams, of a representative plant of that treatment.

| T.I.I. 2 D.I. 41. 1 1. | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| I able 2. Polyethylene mulc | h and herbicide treatment influence | e on early vield, as cwt/aci | e. narvest July 1/ to 24. |

| Treatment | Marketable | Cull | Total |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1. Black plastic, no herbicide | 21.4 | 24.9 | 46.3 |
| 2. Black plastic, Treflan @ 0.5 lb ai | 36.3 | 8.3 | 44.6 |
| 3. Black plastic, Devrinol 50DF @ 1 lb ai. | 37.6 | 14.3 | 51.9 |
| 4. Clear plastic, Treflan | 30.7 | 16.6 | 47.3 |
| 5. Clear plastic, Devrinol | 37.8 | 19.4 | 57.1 |
| 6. Clear plastic, Trelfan + Sencor 75Df @ 0.25 lb ai. | 19.5 | 5.0 | 24.4 |
| 7. Clear plastic, Devrinol + Sencor | 13.9 | 5.2 | 19.1 |
| Contrast Comparison ¹ | | | |
| Black plastic vs. clear plastic (2,3 vs. 4,5) | ns | ns | ns |
| Devrinol vs. Treflan (3,5 vs. 2,4) | ns | ns | ns |
| Treflan or Devrinol vs. with Sencor (4,5 vs. 6,7) | 34.3 vs. 16.7* | 18 vs. 5.1* | 52.2 vs. 21.8* |
| Control (1) to all others (2,3,4,5,6,7) | ns | 24.9 vs. 11.5* | ns |

¹ Comparison: ns = not significant, * or ** indicates significant at the 5% and 1% level, respectively.