

2009

Sweet Corn Plastic Mulch Comparison

Henry G. Taber

Iowa State University, taber@iastate.edu

Matthew Heard

Iowa State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Horticulture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Taber, Henry G. and Heard, Matthew, "Sweet Corn Plastic Mulch Comparison" (2009). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 521.
http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/521

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Sweet Corn Plastic Mulch Comparison

Abstract

Early sweet corn production is enhanced by use of clear plastic mulch. Some growers use this practice on their earliest plantings to gain a market advantage and higher price. In cool, wet springs the practice is highly profitable. In central and northern Iowa, the years with a yield advantage more than offset the costs for years with no gain. There are many color plastic choices with various advertised benefits such as increased soil temperature and reflective radiation that speeds plant growth and development. The sweet corn research is designed to evaluate a few color mulches that are reported to affect corn growth and development—specifically, the sugar content of the kernel at harvest. The blue is supposed to enhance photosynthesis and improve translocation of carbohydrates (sucrose) to the developing kernel. As sweet corn is harvested in the immature stage (as opposed to field corn) it is thought there might be a sugar boost (some Canadian work was indecisive). The mulches were manufactured using pigment resins of a proprietary nature that change the wavelength of reflective radiation – blue and red. Thus, we measured the reflective radiation to see if that is true. Some plastics are included that affect soil temperature more than reflective radiation (i.e, the olive and clear). Increasing soil temperature and resultant enhanced shoot growth and leaf surface area may have more to do with photosynthesis and sugar production than specific reflective radiation.

Keywords

Horticulture

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Horticulture

Sweet Corn Plastic Mulch Comparison

Henry G. Taber, professor
Matt Heard, undergraduate student
Department of Horticulture

Introduction

Early sweet corn production is enhanced by use of clear plastic mulch. Some growers use this practice on their earliest plantings to gain a market advantage and higher price. In cool, wet springs the practice is highly profitable. In central and northern Iowa, the years with a yield advantage more than offset the costs for years with no gain. There are many color plastic choices with various advertised benefits such as increased soil temperature and reflective radiation that speeds plant growth and development. The sweet corn research is designed to evaluate a few color mulches that are reported to affect corn growth and development—specifically, the sugar content of the kernel at harvest. The blue is supposed to enhance photosynthesis and improve translocation of carbohydrates (sucrose) to the developing kernel. As sweet corn is harvested in the immature stage (as opposed to field corn) it is thought there might be a sugar boost (some Canadian work was indecisive). The mulches were manufactured using pigment resins of a proprietary nature that change the wavelength of reflective radiation – blue and red. Thus, we measured the reflective radiation to see if that is true. Some plastics are included that affect soil temperature more than reflective radiation (i.e., the olive and clear). Increasing soil temperature and resultant enhanced shoot growth and leaf surface area may have more to do with photosynthesis and sugar production than specific reflective radiation.

The objective of this study was to evaluate early sweet corn production grown on various colored plastic mulches for maturity, yield, ear characteristics, and kernel sugar content.

Materials and Methods

The project was established at the Horticulture Research Station (central Iowa – a well-drained loam soil). The previous crop was tomatoes. The site was fertilized according to soil test recommendations. The cultural system consisted of plastic mulch and trickle irrigation. Irrigation scheduling was via tensiometers. The necessary fertilizer was broadcast and rotovated in prior to laying the plastic mulches. Before laying the mulch, herbicides Bladex 90DF and Dual II were broadcast at labeled rates. Pest management for earworm corn borer control consisted of Warrior-T applied at row-tassel and every 4 to 5 days during silk development. An early seed variety, Temptation, was seeded by punching through the plastic every 9 in. in-row on May 16. Three seeds were dropped per hole. Individual plot size was 2 rows at 30 ft length, 6-ft on center, with twin rows spaced 18 in. apart.

Plastic mulch treatments consisted of: none or bare ground, olive, blue, red, and clear provided by Pliant Corporation, Washington, GA. All materials were 4-ft wide and 0.00125 in. thick with differing spectral properties. All treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications.

Growth measurement data consisted of emergence percentage and seedling dry weight at the V6 stage of growth. Yield data included number of marketable ears and ear characteristics as weight, length, diameter, tip fill, kernel row, and kernel Brix percentage as measured by refractometer.

Results and Discussion

Seeding was delayed to May 16 from the target date of May 1 because of heavy spring

rains. The growing season was cool and wet, favoring a response to clear plastic mulch. Table 1 indicates the radiation qualities of the plastic types. Bare, dry soil had a reflective value of $400 \mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{sec}$ while the olive plastic reflected almost 50% less, at $220 \mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{sec}$. Clear mulch was the most reflective – similar to bare soil. The red and blue plastic mulch have translucent properties allowing almost 2-fold the radiation to pass through, compared with olive mulch. Of course, clear mulch allowed 92% of direct sunlight to pass through. Translucent properties may be of great benefit in cool springs and wet soil conditions for advancing plant growth.

Although the blue plastic mulch had the earliest germination by visual estimation, the bare and olive plastic mulch appeared to have the lowest germination (89.2% compared with 92.7% for the other three), but there was no statistical difference among the plastic types (Table 2). This apparent effect carried through to June 18 when shoot growth rate was lowest for the bare and olive plastic treatments. However, by July 8 the clear plastic corn was the earliest maturing, with 100% of the plants silking followed by red and then blue mulches. The olive mulch and bare plot sweet corn were the least advanced in maturity.

Yield. Plots were harvested when kernels were fully developed and moisture content was estimated at approximately 72% by the thumbnail test. Table 3 indicates no statistical difference ($P = 0.80$) among the plastic mulch types for yield at first harvest (average of 1,123 doz/acre). However, compared with the bare ground and olive mulch treatments the clear plastic mulch advanced maturity by 3 to

4 days. The blue and red plastic mulch types were equivalent and only 1 to 2 days earlier than the bare ground and olive mulch treatments. For total yield, the olive plastic mulch produced the highest marketable yield of 1,632 doz/acre and bare ground the lowest yield of 1,341 doz/acre or 12.2% less than the olive mulch (P value = 0.07). The blue, clear, and red plastic mulches were intermediate and not different from each other.

Kernel sugar. Table 5 indicates a statistical difference between the olive mulch (highest) and the bare soil (lowest) in kernel moisture percentage indicating a judgment error in harvest maturity. All plastic mulch treatments were similar in kernel moisture content at harvest. The kernel sugar content, as measured by Brix %, was not statistically different among the treatments. There was no correlation between kernel moisture and Brix concentration. The coefficient of variation was <10% indicating good uniformity among the replications. The trend was for the blue plastic mulch to be highest in kernel sugar content, but the value was not different from other plastic treatments.

Ear characteristics. Ear length and tipfill percentage were similar for all plastic mulch treatments (Table 6). There were slight differences for ear diameter and kernel row number. A smaller ear diameter and a higher kernel row number is generally associated with higher eating quality. The translucent plastic mulches (clear, blue, and red) resulted in the greatest effect. Blue plastic mulch had the largest ear diameter and olive mulch the smallest. Red plastic mulch had the highest row kernel count and bare soil the lowest.

Table 1. Radiation (reflective and translucent) of sweet corn plastic mulches.¹

Plastic Treatment	Reflective	Translucent
Bare	400	--
Olive	220	168
Clear	470	1380
Red	340	345
Blue	280	310

¹Reflective radiation, $\mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{sec}$, measured 12 in. above the plastic surface at 10:30 am, June 1 – bright sun, no clouds. The sensor was a Li-Cor quantum sensor measuring energy in the 400 to 700 nm electromagnetic spectrum, the plant PAR range. Direct sunlight measured $1500 \mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{sec}$. Translucent refers to radiation passing through the plastic. All values the mean of three measurements.

Table 2. Germination of Temptation sweet corn as affected by plastic mulch color on May 29.¹

Plastic mulch treatment	Germination, %	Shoot growth	Silking, %
Bare	89.7	8.8	7.8 C
Olive	88.7	8.6	0.8 C
Clear	93.5	10.7	100.0 A
Red	90.9	9.3	55.0 B
Blue	93.6	9.2	35.3 B
Difference, $P < 0.05$	ns	ns	**
S.E.D. _{0.05} ²	5.4	3.4	43.4

¹Data collected on Germination, May 29. Shoot growth, as measured by shoot dry weight at the V6 stage of growth on June 18, and silking percentage determined July 8.

²The standard error of difference for comparison between plastic types. Values followed by the same letter are not different, $P = 0.05$.

Table 3. Sweet corn, cv. Temptation, yield as affected by plastic mulch treatment.

Plastic mulch type	Harvest date in July	Yield, dozen/acre ----	
		1 st harvest	Total
Bare	29	1122	1341 B
Olive	28	1128	1456 AB
Clear	25	1025	1523 AB
Red	30	1140	1632 A
Blue	28	1201	1535 AB
Difference, $P < 0.05$	--	ns	$P = 0.07$
S.E.D. _{0.05} ¹	--	282	180

¹The standard error of difference for comparison between plastic types. Values followed by the same letter are not different, $P < 0.05$.

Table 4. Sweet corn, cv. Temptation, kernel moisture and Brix percentage determined at first harvest.

Plastic mulch treatment	Moisture, %	Brix, %
Bare	70.3 B	18.3
Olive	77.6 A	18.9
Clear	71.5 AB	18.0
Red	75.2 AB	19.4
Blue	74.5 AB	20.3
Difference, $P < 0.05$	*	ns
S.E.D. $_{0.05}$ ¹	6.0	2.6
Coef. variability	5.7 %	9.4 %

¹The standard error of difference for comparison between plastic types.
Values followed by the same letter are not different, $P < 0.05$.

Table 5. Ear characteristics of Temptation sweet corn as affected by plastic mulch treatments.

Plastic type	Length, in.	Diameter, in.	Tipfill, %	Kernel row, No.
Bare	7.2	1.7 AB	99.4	15.2 B
Olive	7.2	1.7 B	98.7	15.7 AB
Clear	7.5	1.7 AB	98.3	15.9 AB
Red	7.2	1.8 AB	99.2	16.3 A
Blue	7.4	1.8 A	99.7	16.1 AB
Difference, $P < 0.05$	ns	ns	*	*
S.E.D. $_{.05}$ ¹	0.31	0.06	2.1	0.90

¹The standard error of difference for comparison between plastic types.
Values followed by the same letter are not different, $P < 0.05$.



General plot showing Olive, red, and blue mulch on June 21. Seeding date was May 16.



Close-up of blue mulch in block 3 on June 21.