IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2006

Seeding Rates versus Various Levels of Simulated Football Traffic

David D. Minner Iowa State University, dminner@iastate.edu

Federico Valverde Iowa State University

Ryan M. Pirtle *Iowa State University*

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Horticulture</u> <u>Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Minner, David D.; Valverde, Federico; and Pirtle, Ryan M., "Seeding Rates versus Various Levels of Simulated Football Traffic" (2006). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1036. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/1036

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Seeding Rates versus Various Levels of Simulated Football Traffic

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the optimal seeding rates for turfgrass species under various simulated traffic levels.

Keywords

Horticulture

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Horticulture

Seeding Rates versus Various Levels of Simulated Football Traffic

David D. Minner, professor Federico Valverde, research associate Ryan M. Pirtle, research assistant Department of Horticulture

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to determine the optimal seeding rates for turfgrass species under various simulated traffic levels.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at Iowa State University's football practice facility in Ames, Iowa, during fall 2005. Three turfgrass species, Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* Unique) (KB), tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Millennium II) (TF), and perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* Catalina) (PR) were evaluated for seeding rates. For each species, eight seeding rates were evaluated and a total of six traffic levels were applied to each seeding rate (Table 1). This study was replicated three times.

Seeding was done on September 2. Seeds were sown by a broadcast method into a stand of mature Kentucky bluegrass, which had been killed with Roundup prior to seeding. Immediately after seeding, eight passes of simulated traffic were applied to all seeding treatments. Each subsequent week, for 10 weeks, concentrated traffic was

applied at the various traffic levels. The last application of traffic occurred on November 11. Percent of turfgrass cover data was recorded each week directly before applying the traffic treatments.

Results and Discussion

Throughout the duration of this study, PR consistently provided more turfgrass cover than KB or TF. Because of the low-traffic tolerance and slow germination rate of KB, it failed to stand up well to traffic, especially with treatments of 8, 12, 16, and 20 passes/week. Most likely, PR outperformed TF because of its quick germination rate and hightraffic tolerance. Tall fescue, despite having a higher-traffic tolerance than PR, probably did not perform as well because of its slightly slower germination rate. On the final day of traffic, KB had the lowest amount of turfgrass cover as compared with TF and PR, with PR having the highest. As expected for all species, as the level of traffic increased, turfgrass cover decreased. However, by increasing the seeding rate, we were able to increase turfgrass cover in most traffic treatments (Table 1).

Analysis of variance and regression will be performed on the data in order to predict the highest seeding rate that will produce a measurable increment on turfgrass coverage. This study will conclude in June 2006 when final turfgrass cover percentage data will be recorded.

Seed Rate ¹	Traffic Level ²					
	2	4	8	12	16	20
KB	KB % Cover					
1	5	1	1	0	0	0
3	10	5	3	1	0	0
6	20	5	3	1	0	0
9	25	5	3	1	0	0
12	25	7	3	1	0	0
15	25	10	3	1	0	0
30	30	10	5	1	0	0
45	25	15	5	1	1	0
TF	TF % Cover					
5	25	5	3	1	1	0
10	20	10	5	1	1	0
15	25	10	5	2	1	0
30	75	20	5	3	2	0
45	90	25	5	3	2	1
60	85	40	5	1	2	1
90	95	75	5	3	1	1
120	100	85	5	3	2	1
PR	PR % Cover					
5	25	15	5	3	1	0
10	60	25	10	5	1	0
15	60	25	10	5	1	1
30	80	60	15	5	3	2
45	90	70	15	5	2	2
60	100	70	20	6	5	3
120	100	90	30	15	10	3
200	100	90	35	15	10	5

Table 1. Turfgrass cover percentage data from Novembe	r 11, 2005, and seeding rates and traffic
treatments from replication 1.	

¹Pounds of seed/1,000 ft². ²Passes of traffic simulator every Friday.