IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2012

Soybean Response to Sulfur Fertilization

Vincent Lawson

Iowa State University, vlawson@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports

Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, and the <u>Agriculture Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Lawson, Vincent, "Soybean Response to Sulfur Fertilization" (2012). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 63. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/63

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Soybean Response to Sulfur Fertilization

Abstract

The objective of this trial was to investigate response of soybean to sulfur fertilization when grown on irrigated coarse sand soil with low organic matter.

Keywords

RFR A1129

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture

Soybean Response to Sulfur Fertilization

RFR-A1129

Vince Lawson, farm superintendent

Introduction

The objective of this trial was to investigate response of soybean to sulfur fertilization when grown on irrigated coarse sand soil with low organic matter.

Materials and Methods

Trial location was Field B. which has a Fruitfield coarse sand soil with 1 percent organic matter and soil pH of 7.4. A spring soil test reported 222 lb/acre phosphorus (very high), 180 lb/acre potassium (low), and 22 lb/acre of sulfur (low) in the topsoil. Potash (0-0-60) was broadcast at a rate of 200 lb/acre before chisel plowing and disking. Soybean seed, Pioneer 93Y05, was planted on May 20 at seed spacing of 6-7 per ft in rows 30 in. apart. Soybeans were irrigated as needed with subsurface drip irrigation lines placed 12 in. deep and 60 in. apart. Weed control was achieved with use of s-metolachlor and glyphosate herbicides. Plots were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. A treatment plot consisted of six soybean rows 20 ft long with data taken from center two rows. Calcium sulfate (21% Ca, 17% S) was used as the sulfur source and banded between plot rows at rates to equal 0, 10, 20, and 40 lb/acre sulfur on June 22 when soybeans were at the V3 growth stage. Recently matured trifoliate leaves were collected on August 9

when soybeans were at R2 growth stage for percent sulfur in plant tissue determinations. Soybean plots were harvested on October 15 with a plot combine.

Results and Discussion

Since the reported sufficiency range for sulfur content in soybean leaves is 0.21–0.49 percent and Treatment 1, the control, had a reading of 0.26 percent (Table 1), it is probable that the soybean plants were acquiring adequate amounts of sulfur from their environment without the addition of sulfur fertilizer. Visually, no growth differences were observed between the treatments but applying sulfur fertilizer did increase the percent of sulfur content in foliage. Plot harvest on October 15 indicated good growing conditions. All treatments produced high yields and were not significantly different.

In general, sulfur fertilizer applications are not recommended for soybean production because research has rarely shown an economical response. This trial work supports that recommendation although further work would be useful for identifying conditions under which a response might be expected.

Acknowledgements

Appreciation is extended to Greg Gebhart, ISU Agronomy and Plant Pathology and Microbiology departments, for harvesting the soybean plots and to Troy Schlapkohl, Sweetland Ag Tech, for fertilizer materials.

Table 1. Sulfur fertilizer treatments, plant tissue % sulfur on August 9, and treatment yields.

Description	Plant tissue % sulfur	Yield bushels/acre ^a
No sulfur fertilizer	0.26	72.4
59 lb/acre CaSO ₄ applied June 22	0.32	74.4
118 lb/acre CaSO ₄ applied June 22	0.29	69.6
236 lb/acre CaSO ₄ applied June 22	0.31	72.2
_	No sulfur fertilizer 59 lb/acre CaSO ₄ applied June 22 118 lb/acre CaSO ₄ applied June 22	Description% sulfurNo sulfur fertilizer0.2659 lb/acre CaSO4 applied June 220.32118 lb/acre CaSO4 applied June 220.29

^aTreatment yields were not significantly different at the 5 percent level.