IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2008

Organic Corn Cultivar Performance

Leslie Freehill *Iowa State University*

Lori Abendroth *Iowa State University,* labend@iastate.edu

Roger W. Elmore *Iowa State University,* relmore@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Agronomy and Crop</u> <u>Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Freehill, Leslie; Abendroth, Lori; and Elmore, Roger W., "Organic Corn Cultivar Performance" (2008). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 764. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/764

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Organic Corn Cultivar Performance

Abstract

The acreage of organic corn cultivars planted each year is increasing in Iowa. In 2005, 20,247 acres of organic corn were planted in the state, ranking Iowa second in the nation for organic corn production (USDA ERS, 2005). Public perception that organic production is healthier for both the environment and the consumer has fueled the increase.

Keywords

Agronomy

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences

Organic Corn Cultivar Performance

Leslie Freehill, research assistant Lori Abendroth, agronomy specialist Roger Elmore, professor Department of Agronomy

Introduction

The acreage of organic corn cultivars planted each year is increasing in Iowa. In 2005, 20,247 acres of organic corn were planted in the state, ranking Iowa second in the nation for organic corn production (USDA ERS, 2005). Public perception that organic production is healthier for both the environment and the consumer has fueled the increase.

Organic seed is non-transgenic cultivars produced organically. Organic corn producers select cultivars based on a variety of factors, including strong early growth and vigor, competitiveness to weed pressure, insect and disease tolerance, high protein grain composition, and yield and grain moisture. These selection criteria are important to organic producers, and may not be as important for conventional producers. Organic producers often plant organic cultivars later to allow for more pre-plant tillage (to help control earlyseason weed pressure) and to ensure rapid emergence of seed that is untreated against insects and diseases. Limited research has been conducted to date on cultivars best-suited for organic production in Iowa. Research was initiated across the state in 2007 and will continue in 2008 across multiple locations to negate the environmental variations that exist year to year, allowing identification of topperforming hybrids.

Materials and Methods

Twenty organic hybrids, one conventional non-GMO hybrid and four open-pollinated (OP) varieties were used in 2007. The conventional hybrid (Pioneer 36B08) was included to provide a comparison with other non-organic hybrids and also served as a hand-weeded check. The trial was planted on May 10, 2007 on soybean residue. Cultivars were overplanted and thinned to 30,000 seeds/acre. The field was tilled prior to planting and cultivated once after planting to control weeds. Fertilizer was applied as 28-29-0 at 519 lb/acre and no pesticides were used. Weed, insect, and disease pressure was extremely low; low weed pressure could be a result of herbicide carry-over from the year before.

Individual plots were 4 rows wide (30-in. spacing) by 15.5 ft long. Seed germinability; emergence rate; early- and late-season plant heights; primary ear node heights; percent lodging and stalk rot; leaf architecture; weed, insect, and disease ratings; grain protein, oil, and starch content; and yield and moisture were collected. An emergence rate index (ERI) was used to measure how quickly and uniformly each cultivar emerged after planting. Multiple stand counts were taken during emergence and used to calculate ERI:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{last} \frac{[\% n - \% (n-1)]}{n = first} n$$

% n = percentage of plants emerged on day n % (n-1) = percentage of plants emerged on day n-1 n = number of days after planting first = first day any plants emerged last = last counting day (emergence complete)

A high ERI value indicates a cultivar which emerged quickly and uniformly, while a low ERI value indicates a cultivar that emerged slowly and unevenly. ERI numbers are strictly relative and can therefore only be compared among cultivars within one location. Plots were harvested October 22, 2007. Grain yield was adjusted to 15% moisture basis. SAS PROC MIXED was the statistical program used in analyzing the data, with a significance level of $P \le 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

We will present ERI, root lodging, and grain yield in this report. Emergence rate index differed based on cultivar (Table 1); P = 0.0005(where P is the level of probability). A difference of 1.0 was needed to determine whether ERI of one cultivar was different from another. A range of 18.6 to 21.8 existed among the cultivars, clearly showing a difference in emergence, which is critical to organic producers since they use untreated seed and want quick emergence to limit exposure to insect feeding and disease. Percent root lodging at harvest also differed based on cultivar; P < 0.0001. Extremely low lodging was present in most cultivars, although 4.3% lodging was found in one of the OP varieties. A difference (LSD) of 1.1% was needed to determine whether the percent lodging of one cultivar was different from another. Yield was different among the cultivars; P < 0.0001 (Table 1). A difference (LSD) of 17 bushels/acre was needed to determine whether a cultivar yielded different from another cultivar. Yields ranged from 77 to 193 bushels/acre; this environment and location allowed for good separation among the cultivars. The four OP varieties consistently yielded less than the organic and conventional hybrids. Consider this data as 'preliminary;' use it with caution as it is only from one year and one location.

Research will continue in 2008 at the Northern Research and Demonstration Farm.

Acknowledgements

Appreciation is extended to Paul White for planting and harvesting the trial, and to David Rueber at the Northern Research Farm for maintaining the trial. Appreciation is also extended to Lesa Andersen, Tim Chwirka, and Matt Boyer, corn production research assistants.

Grain yield					
5					
					ERI
	significance		significance		significance
	а		а		abc
190	ab		а		de
186	abc		а		cde
185	abc	0.0	а		bcde
184	abcd	0.0	а		abcd
	abcd	0.5	ab		cde
	abcd	0.6	ab		bcde
183	abcd	0.0	а	21.8	а
182	abcd	0.0	а	20.8	bcde
181	abcd	0.5	ab	20.1	de
180	abcd	0.0	а	21.3	ab
180	abcde	0.0	а	20.4	bcde
179	abcde	0.0	а	20.7	bcde
175	bcde	0.0	а	20.9	abcd
175	bcdef	0.0	а	20.5	bcde
174	bcdef	0.0	а	21.1	abc
172	cdef	0.0	а	20.6	bcde
168	def	0.0	а	21.3	ab
164	efg	0.0	а	20.8	abcd
159		0.0	а	20.7	bcde
158	fg	0.0	а	20.2	cde
149		0.0	а	19.8	e
119	ĥ	0.0	а	20.7	bcde
109	h	1.5	b	21.0	abcd
101	h	0.0	а	18.6	f
77	h	4.3	с	20.7	bcde
	LSD=17		LSD=1.1		LSD=1.0
	adjusted to 15% moisture bushels/acre 193 190 186 185 184 183 183 183 183 182 181 180 180 179 175 175 175 174 172 168 164 159 158 149 119 109 101	adjusted to15% moisture bushels/acreGrain yield significance193a190ab186abc185abc184abcd183abcd183abcd183abcd184abcd183abcd184abcd183abcd184abcd183abcd184abcd185bcd187abcd180abcd180abcde175bcde175bcdef175bcdef174bcdef175bcdef175fg168def164efg159fg158fg149g119h109h101h77h	adjusted to 15% moisture bushels/acreGrain yield significanceRoot lodging percent193a0.0190ab0.0190ab0.0186abc0.0185abc0.0184abcd0.0183abcd0.5183abcd0.6183abcd0.0184abcd0.0183abcd0.0184abcd0.0183abcd0.0181abcd0.5180abcd0.0179abcde0.0175bcdef0.0175bcdef0.0174bcdef0.0158fg0.0158fg0.0149g0.0109h1.5101h0.0	adjusted to 15% moisture bushels/acreGrain yield significanceRoot lodging percentRoot lodging significance193a0.0a190ab0.0a190ab0.0a186abc0.0a185abc0.0a184abcd0.0a183abcd0.6ab183abcd0.6ab183abcd0.6ab183abcd0.0a184abcd0.5ab183abcd0.0a184abcd0.0a185abcd0.0a180abcd0.0a181abcd0.5ab180abcde0.0a179abcde0.0a175bcdef0.0a175bcdef0.0a175bcdef0.0a175bcdef0.0a174bcdef0.0a158fg0.0a159fg0.0a158fg0.0a159h1.5b101h0.0a	adjusted to 15% moisture bushels/acreGrain yield significanceRoot lodging significanceRoot lodging193a0.0a21.1190ab0.0a20.1186abc0.0a20.2185abc0.0a20.2185abcd0.0a20.9183abcd0.5ab20.2183abcd0.6ab20.4183abcd0.6ab20.4183abcd0.6ab20.4183abcd0.0a21.8184abcd0.5ab20.2183abcd0.0a21.8184abcd0.0a20.8185abcd0.0a20.8181abcd0.5ab20.1180abcde0.0a20.4179abcde0.0a20.7175bcde0.0a20.9175bcdef0.0a20.5174bcdef0.0a20.6168def0.0a20.7158fg0.0a20.7158fg0.0a20.7158fg0.0a20.7109h1.5b21.0101h0.0a20.7

Table 1. Cultivar grain yield, root lodging and emergence rate index (ERI) performance. Cultivars are sorted
by yield, from highest to lowest. ¹

¹Treatment means with any letter in common are not different from one another.