

2008

National Elm Trial Update for Iowa, 2007

Mark L. Gleason

Iowa State University, mgleason@iastate.edu

Jean C. Batzer

Iowa State University, jbatzer@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Plant Pathology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gleason, Mark L. and Batzer, Jean C., "National Elm Trial Update for Iowa, 2007" (2008). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 698.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/698

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

National Elm Trial Update for Iowa, 2007

Abstract

The graceful American elm that once dominated urban forests across the United States has essentially disappeared from urban landscapes after the introduction of Dutch elm disease. This tragedy illustrates why it is essential to maximize genetic diversity within the nation's urban forests. Exotic pathogens and insects continue to threaten the health of our shade trees. Dutch elm disease-resistant elm cultivars could enhance the diversity as well as the beauty of urban forests. Although many Dutch elm disease-resistant elm cultivars are available in the nursery trade, much of the public is hesitant to purchase and plant any elm tree. In order to promote interest in planting these trees, scientific data on growth, form, and pest resistance for existing Dutch elm disease resistant elm cultivars are essential.

Keywords

Plant Pathology

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Plant Pathology

National Elm Trial Update for Iowa, 2007

Mark Gleason, professor/ext. plant pathologist
Jean Batzer, assistant scientist
Department of Plant Pathology

Introduction

The graceful American elm that once dominated urban forests across the United States has essentially disappeared from urban landscapes after the introduction of Dutch elm disease. This tragedy illustrates why it is essential to maximize genetic diversity within the nation's urban forests. Exotic pathogens and insects continue to threaten the health of our shade trees. Dutch elm disease-resistant elm cultivars could enhance the diversity as well as the beauty of urban forests. Although many Dutch elm disease-resistant elm cultivars are available in the nursery trade, much of the public is hesitant to purchase and plant any elm tree. In order to promote interest in planting these trees, scientific data on growth, form, and pest resistance for existing Dutch elm disease-resistant elm cultivars are essential.

The National Elm Trial is a multi-state effort to evaluate and promote the use of commercially available Dutch elm disease-resistant American and hybrid elms. Fourteen elm cultivars are being planted in large replicated trials in a wide range of conditions across the United States so that their growth and performance can be evaluated. Public and private sites in fifteen states are cooperating to evaluate these tree cultivars over a wide range of growing conditions and hardiness zones. The project is coordinated by Dr. William Jacobi and Dr. James Klett of Colorado State University and Dr. James Walla of North Dakota State University. Iowa State University is among the fifteen state cooperators.

The objective of this research is to: 1) determine the growth and horticultural performance of

commercially available Dutch elm disease-resistant elm cultivars in various climate regimes in the United States; 2) determine the relative disease, insect, and abiotic stress tolerance of these cultivars, and 3) promote the propagation and use of elms through local, regional, and national reporting of the trial results to wholesale tree propagators and growers, retail nursery and garden center operators, landscaper designers, arborists, and the general public.

Materials and Methods

Elm cultivars 1–14 were planted in April 2005. Varieties 15–16 were planted in May 2006 and variety 17 (Prairie Expedition) was planted May 2007. Each cultivar is represented by one tree in each of five blocks in a randomized complete block. The elm cultivars represent a range of hybrids and species of *Ulmus* that are commercially available. The trial will be conducted over a period of 10 years.

Using a standard format, annual assessment of each tree includes height, diameter, crown characteristics, and fall color. At appropriate times during the year, each tree is assessed for response to vascular diseases, canker diseases, foliar diseases, scale insect infestations, foliar-feeding insect infestations, bark beetle infestations, and abiotic damage (frost/freezing, wind, winter dieback, sunscald, and drought).

Results and Discussion

Elm cultivars differed in growth rate. Diameter at breast height ranged from 0.6 to 4.3 in. Height ranged from 6.2 to 23.4 ft and crown width ranged from 3.5 to 19.75 ft (Table 1). The cultivars all had very similar levels of leaf damage from anthracnose and insect feeding. No vascular or canker disease was observed. All of cultivar 2 (Elmer II Allee) died during establishment. Seven additional trees were lost

as a result of wind damage during the 2007 growing season and some were damaged while being staked up after having blown over.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nick Howell and Lynn Schroeder for helping with tree maintenance. Thanks also to the 312 Bessey field crew for all their hard work during 2007.

Table 1. 2007 growth data* of elm trees planted in a randomized complete block.

Elm	Cultivar	Ulmus species	Planting date	Diam (in)	Height (ft)	Crown width (ft)	Comments
1	Denada Charm Morton Red Tip	<i>U. japonica</i> X <i>U. wilsoniana</i>	April 2005	4.3a	22.8a	16.5bcd	1 blew over
2	Triumph Morton Glossy	<i>U. pumila</i> X <i>U. japonica</i> X <i>U. wilsoniana</i>	April 2005	3.4bc	19.7bc	16.6bcd	
3	Elmer II Allee	<i>U. parvifolia</i>	April 2005				Failed to establish
4	Homestead	<i>U. glabra</i> X <i>U. carpinifolia</i> X <i>U. pumila</i>	April 2005	3.4bc	18.2cde	13.0de	2 dead
5	Patriot	(<i>U. glabra</i> X <i>U. carpinifolia</i> X <i>U. pumila</i>) X <i>U. wilsoniana</i>	April 2005	3.3bc	21.8ab	19.75ab	1 dead
6	Emerald Sunshine	<i>U. propinqua</i>	April 2005	2.1fg	15.2ef	9.2fg	1 dead
7	Commendation Morton Stalwart	<i>U. carpinifolia</i> X <i>U. pumila</i> X <i>U. wilsoniana</i>	April 2005	4.2a	23.4a	18.35bc	
8	Vanguard Morton Plainsman	<i>U. pumila</i> X <i>U. japonica</i>	April 2005	3.75ab	19bc	22.8a	
9	Frontier	<i>U. carpinifolia</i> X <i>U. parvifolia</i>	April 2005	2.5def	15.7def	7.6fg	
10	Pioneer	<i>U. glabra</i> X <i>U. carpinifolia</i>	April 2005	3.1bcd	18.8bcd	13.1ed	
11	New Horizon	<i>U. pumila</i> X <i>U. japonica</i>	April 2005	3.2bcd	18.8bcd	10.4ef	2 dead
12	Accolade Morton	<i>U. japonica</i> X <i>U. wilsoniana</i>	April 2005	2.9cde	19.3bc	15.8cd	
13	Prospector	<i>U. wilsoniana</i>	April 2005	3.1cde	15.7def	15.3cd	
14	Valley Forge	<i>U. americana</i>	April 2005	2.3ef	11.8g	15.3cd	1 broken leader, 1 staked
15	New Harmony	<i>U. americana</i>	May 2006	1.6g	14.5fg	6.1gh	
16	Princeton	<i>U. americana</i>	May 2006	2.2efg	17.0cdef	8.1fg	
17	Prairie Expedition	<i>U. americana</i>	May 2007	0.6h	6.2h	3.5h	

*Values followed by same letters within column are not different (P < 0.05).