### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2011

# Greens-height Creeping Bentgrass cv. Emerald Evaluation of Fungicides

Mark L. Gleason Iowa State University, mgleason@iastate.edu

Jean C. Batzer Iowa State University, jbatzer@iastate.edu

Steven D. Johnson Iowa State University, sdjohns@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\_reports Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Plant Pathology</u> <u>Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Gleason, Mark L.; Batzer, Jean C.; and Johnson, Steven D., "Greens-height Creeping Bentgrass cv. Emerald Evaluation of Fungicides" (2011). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 185. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\_reports/185

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

## Greens-height Creeping Bentgrass cv. Emerald Evaluation of Fungicides

#### Abstract

Evaluations of 18 fungicide treatments for control of dollar spot and brown spot, as well as effect on turf quality, were conducted in green- height creeping bentgrass cv. Emerald, at the Iowa State University Horticulture Station, Ames, IA.

#### Keywords

RFR A1030, Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Turfgrass

### Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Plant Pathology

### **Greens-height Creeping Bentgrass cv. Emerald Evaluation of Fungicides**

### **RFR-A1030**

Mark Gleason, professor Jean Batzer, assistant scientist Department of Plant Pathology Steven Johnson, field specialist ISU Extension

### Introduction

Evaluations of 18 fungicide treatments for control of dollar spot and brown spot, as well as effect on turf quality, were conducted in green- height creeping bentgrass cv. Emerald, at the Iowa State University Horticulture Station, Ames, IA.

### **Materials and Methods**

Plots of creeping bentgrass (cv. Emerald) were maintained at 0.16-in. cutting height. On June 1, plots were inoculated with rye grain infested with *Sclerotinia homoeocarpa*. Fungicides, selected for activity against dollar spot, were applied using a backpack sprayer at 30 psi and a dilution rate of 5 gal/1,000 sq ft. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. All subplots measured 4 ft x 5 ft. Spray applications were initiated on June 7, except for treatments 18 and 19, which were initiated May 24. Reapplications were made at recommended intervals until August 17.

Periodic assessments of disease symptoms were made on July 2, 15, and 26, and August 18. Visual estimates of brown patch severity were made with a qualitative scale of 0–5, where 0 = no disease; 1 = 1-5 percent; 2 = 6-10 percent; 3 = 11-25 percent; 4 = 26-50 percent; 5 = > 50% plot symptomatic. Dollar spot was rated as percent plot displaying symptoms. A turf quality assessment was made using a qualitative rating scale of 1 to 10 (1 = poorest, 10 = best, 6 = adequate). Data were analyzed using the GLM procedure in SAS, and mean separations were determined using Fisher's protected LSD at P  $\leq$  0.05.

### **Results and Discussion**

Weather in central Iowa during July and August broke all-time records for rainfall, heat, and humidity, culminating in widespread flooding August 11 to 13.

Brown patch pressure was moderate to severe, and intensified as the season progressed, whereas dollar spot pressure peaked in late July and declined in August. There were significant differences among treatments in ability to suppress brown patch, and most of the tested products suppressed brown patch significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in comparison with the unsprayed check (Table 1).

Dollar spot pressure was light to moderate. Many treatments differed significantly from each other on July 2 and July 26 (Table 2).

Turf quality also varied significantly among treatments; there was a gradual decline in quality across the rating dates, but some treatments maintained acceptable quality despite the challenging weather conditions (Table 3). No conspicuous phytotoxicity symptoms were observed during the trial, although Treatment 11 subplots exhibited either a deeper green coloration or a slight browning on July 15 and 26.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Chris Blume and the Turfgrass Research Area crew for maintenance of turf.

Tabl	e 1. Brown patch on greens at ISU horticultural farm Products and rates per 1,000 sq ft	Brown patch severity					
<b>r</b> adi Trt		Interval	Jul 2	0-5 <sup>z</sup> Jul 15	L-1.2(	A	
1	Unsprayed check	(days)	$\frac{3012}{2.3 a^{x}}$	3.3 a	Jul 26 4.8 a	Aug 1 5.0 a	
2	BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 2.25 lb	28	2.5 a 0 d	0.5 de	4.8 a 0.5 d	0.3 f	
23	BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 2.23 lb BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 3.0 lb	28 28	0 d	0.5 de 0.8 c-e	0.3 d 0.8 d	0.5 I 1.0 c-f	
		28 28					
4	BASF Insignia 20WG 0.9 oz + Trinity SC 1.0 fl oz		0.3 cd	0.8 c-e	0.5 d	0.5 ef	
5	BASF Heritage G (granular) 3.0 lb	28	0.3 cd	0.5 de	1.3 cd	0.8 d-1	
6	BASF 640 F SC 1.93 fl oz	14	1.0 bc	0.8 c-e	0.8 d	2.5 b-0	
7	BASF 640 F SC 2.89 fl oz	14	1.0 bc	1.0 b-e	1.3 cd	4.3 ab	
8	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	14	1.0 bc	1.3 b-d	0.3 d	0.5 ef	
	$2^{nd}$ spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	14					
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	6 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
9	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.2 fl oz	14	0.3 cd	0.8 c-e	0.3 d	1.8 c-1	
10	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz	14	0 d	0.3 de	0 d	2.8 b-	
11	Bayer Concert EC 5.0 fl oz	14	0 d	0.3 de	0 d	1.0 c-1	
12	Bayer Interface SC 3.0 fl oz	14	0 d	0 e	0 d	1.5 c-1	
13	Bayer Interface SC 4.0 fl oz	14	0 d	0 e	0 d	0.3 f	
14	Bayer Interface SC 5.0 fl oz	14	0 d	0.3 de	0.3 d	1.5 c-1	
15	Bayer Iprodione Pro 2 SC 4.0 fl oz	14	1.8 b	1.8 bc	2.8 b	2.5 b-	
16	Bayer Emerald SG 0.13 oz	14	1.0 bc	2.0 b	2.5 bc	1.5 c-1	
17	Bayer Honor GR 0.83 oz.	14	0 d	0 e	0 d	1.3 c-1	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Iprodione Pro 2 SC 4.0 fl oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
18	Bayer Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz	14	0.3 cd	0 e	0 d	3.0 a-c	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz						
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz						
	$6^{\text{th}}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Chipco 26 GT 4.0 oz						
	7 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
19	Bayer Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz	14	0 d	0 e	0 d	0.5 ef	
.,	$2^{nd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz		° u	ů <b>č</b>	° u	0.0 01	
	$3^{rd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.67 oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	$5^{\text{th}}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	$6^{\text{th}}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz						
	7 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.67 oz						
	LSD (0.05)		0.90	1.04	1.44	2.23	
	ans followed by the same letter are not significantly different within column acco					4.43	

Table 2. Dollar spot on greens ISU horticultural farm		Interval	Dollar spot %				
			Jul	Jul	Jul	Aug	
Trt	Products and rates per 1,000 sq ft	(days)	2	15	26	18	
1	Unsprayed check		5.0 a <sup>x</sup>	3.3 ab	2.5 bc	0.5 b	
2	BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 2.25 lb	28	1.0 c-e	2.3 b	6.3 b	1.8 ab	
3	BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 3.0 lb	28	0.5 de	1.0 b	2.8 bc	1.3 ab	
4	BASF Insignia 20WG 0.9 oz + Trinity SC 1.0 fl oz	28	1.8 b-e	1.3 b	4.1 bc	1.3 ab	
5	BASF Heritage G (granular) 3.0 lb	28	4.3 ab	6.8 a	16.3 a	0.8 b	
6	BASF 640 F SC 1.93 fl oz	14	2.8 a-e	2.0 b	0.3 c	0 b	
7	BASF 640 F SC 2.89 fl oz	14	1.3 c-e	0.5 b	0 c	0 b	
8	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz.	14	3.3 a-d	6.5 a	2.5 bc	0 b	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	14					
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	6 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
9	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.2 fl oz.	14	1.8 b-e	3.3 ab	1.0 bc	1.5 ab	
10	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz.	14	2.5 a-e	2.8 ab	0.5 bc	1.0 ab	
11	Bayer Concert EC 5.0 fl oz	14	1.5 b-e	2.3 b	0.8 bc	0.3 b	
12	Bayer Interface SC 3.0 fl oz.	14	1.0 c-e	0.5 b	0 c	0 b	
13	Bayer Interface SC 4.0 fl oz	14	1.3 c-e	0.5 b	0 c	0 b	
14	Bayer Interface SC 5.0 fl oz	14	0 e	1.0 b	0 c	0.5 b	
15	Bayer Iprodione Pro 2 SC 4.0 fl oz.	14	3.5 a-c	2.0 b	0.5 bc	1.3 ab	
16	Bayer Emerald SG 0.13 oz.	14	0.3 e	0.3 b	0 c	0.3 b	
17	Bayer Honor GR 0.83 oz.	14	0 e	0 b	0 c	0 b	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Iprodione Pro 2 SC 4.0 fl oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
18	Bayer Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz	14	2.3 а-е	2.0 b	1.0 bc	3.8 a	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz						
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz						
	6 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Chipco 26 GT 4.0 oz						
	7 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
19	Bayer Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz	14	1.0 c-e	2.0 b	0.5 bc	0 b	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz						
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.67 oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	6 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz						
	7 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.67 oz						
	LSD (0.05)		2.79	4.01	5.86	2.87	
<sup>x</sup> Mea	ns followed by the same letter are not significantly different within column acc	ording to F	isher's pro	tected LS	D at $P \le 0$	.05.	

Tabl	Table 3. Turf quality of greens at ISU horticultural research farm		Quality rating 1-10 <sup>z</sup>				
			Jul	Jul	Jul	Aug	
Trt	Products and rates per 1,000 sq ft	(days)	2	15	26	18	
1	Unsprayed check		5.0 e <sup>x</sup>	5.0 h	4.5 g	3.8 f	
2	BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 2.25 lb	28	7.5 а-с	7.0 b-f	6.3 d-f	6.5 a-c	
3	BASF BAS 67300 (granular) 3.0 lb	28	7.3 а-с	6.8 c-g	6.8 с-е	6.8 a-c	
4	BASF Insignia 20WG 0.9 oz + Trinity SC 1.0 fl oz	28	7.3 а-с	7.0 b-f 6.5 d-	7.0 b-e	6.8 a-c	
F	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$	20	(5.1		5 2 fr	()	
5	BASF Heritage G (granular) 3.0 lb BASF 640 F SC 1.93 fl oz	28	6.5 cd	g 6223	5.3 fg	6.3 a-e	
6	BASF 040 F SC 1.93 II 02	14	6.5 cd	6.3 e-g 6.5 d-	6.5 de	5.5 c-e	
7	BASF 640 F SC 2.89 fl oz	14	6.5 cd	g	6.5 de	5.0 ef	
8	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz.	14	6.5 cd	5.8 gh	6.8 c-e	7.3 a	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	14		U			
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
	6 <sup>th</sup> spray Reserve 4.8 SC 2.5 fl oz	7					
9	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.2 fl oz.	14	7.3 a-c	6.8 c-g	7.5 a-d	6.3 a-e	
10	Bayer Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz.	14	7.5 a-c	7.3 b-e	7.5 a-d	5.5 c-e	
11	Bayer Concert EC 5.0 fl oz.	14	6.5 cd	5.8 gh	7.0 b-e	7.0 ab	
12	Bayer Interface SC 3.0 fl oz	14	8.0 a	8.0 ab	8.0 ab	6.3 a-e	
13	Bayer Interface SC 4.0 fl oz.	14	7.5 a-c	8.5 a	8.0 ab	7.0 ab	
14	Bayer Interface SC 5.0 fl oz.	14	8.0 a	8.0 ab	7.8 a-c	6.5 a-d	
15	Bayer Iprodione Pro 2 SC 4.0 fl oz.	14	5.8 de	6.0 f-h	6.3 ef	5.3 de	
	,,		6.8 b-				
16	Bayer Emerald SG 0.13 oz	14	d	6.3 e-g	6.0 ef	5.8 b-e	
17	Bayer Honor GR 0.83 oz.	14	8.0 a	7.8 a-c	7.5 a-d	6.5 a-c	
17	$2^{nd}$ spray Honor GR 0.83 oz		0.0 u	, <b>u u</b>	, u u	0.0 4 0	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> spray Iprodione Pro 2 SC 4.0 fl oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Honor GR 0.83 oz						
18	Bayer Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz	14	7.0 a-c	75a-d	7.8 a-c	5.0 ef	
10	$2^{nd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Triton Flo SC 0.5 fl oz	11	7.0 u C	7.5 u u	7.0 <b>u c</b>	5.0 01	
	$3^{rd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz						
	4 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.2 oz						
	$6^{\text{th}}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconn Offick 82.5 wG 5.2 oz $6^{\text{th}}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Chipco 26 GT 4.0 oz						
	$7^{\text{th}}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
19	Bayer Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz	14	7.8 ab	7.3 b-e	8.5 a	6.8 a-c	
19	$2^{nd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz	14	7.0 aU	1.5 0-6	0. <i>J</i> a	0.0 a-C	
	$3^{rd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 H oz $3^{rd}$ spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.67						
	oz 4 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	5 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz						
	5 spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Reserve 4.8 SC 3.6 fl oz 6 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Tartan SC 1.5 fl oz						
	7 <sup>th</sup> spray Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz + Daconil Ultrex 82.5 WG 3.67						
	0Z		1.00	1.10	1.17	1.47	
	LSD (0.05) ans followed by the same letter are not significantly different within column ac		1.08	1.19	1.16	1.45	

<sup>x</sup>Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different within column according to Fisher's protected LSD at  $P \le 0.05$ . <sup>z</sup>A turf quality assessment of 1 to 10 (1 = poorest, 10 = best, 6 = acceptable).