IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2011

Evaluation of Bt and non-Bt Corn with and without Soil Insecticides for Control of Corn Rootworm

Aaron J. Gassmann Iowa State University, aaronjg@iastate.edu

Patrick J. Weber *Iowa State University*, pjweber@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Entomology</u> <u>Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Gassmann, Aaron J. and Weber, Patrick J., "Evaluation of Bt and non-Bt Corn with and without Soil Insecticides for Control of Corn Rootworm" (2011). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 242. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/242

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Evaluation of Bt and non-Bt Corn with and without Soil Insecticides for Control of Corn Rootworm

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of transgenic corn and soil insecticides, either alone or in combination, for the control of corn rootworm. Evaluation of Bt hybrids included Mycogen brand SmartStax and Herculex XTRA, and Dekalb brand YieldGard VT3. Soil insecticides evaluated were SmartChoice, Aztec, and Counter.

Keywords RFR A10106, Entomology

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Entomology

Evaluation of Bt and non-Bt Corn with and without Soil Insecticides for Control of Corn Rootworm

RFR-A10106

Aaron Gassmann, assistant professor Patrick Weber, agricultural specialist Department of Entomology

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of transgenic corn and soil insecticides, either alone or in combination, for the control of corn rootworm. Evaluation of Bt hybrids included Mycogen brand SmartStax and Herculex XTRA, and Dekalb brand YieldGard VT3. Soil insecticides evaluated were SmartChoice, Aztec, and Counter.

Materials and Methods

The corn was planted in an area that had been planted the previous year with "trap crop." The seed planted for the trap crop was a mixed-maturity blend with a greater proportion of late-maturing varieties. This trap crop constitutes a favorable environment for adult female rootworm late in the season when other fields are maturing and results in a high abundance of rootworm larvae the following year. The experimental design for this study was a randomized complete block design with four replications. Treatments were two rows wide, and 75 ft long. This study was planted on April 21 at a population of 35,600 seeds/acre. Seeds were pre-bagged and planted with a four row John Deere Max EmergeTM 7100 integral planter that had 30-in. row spacing. Granular insecticide formulations were applied with modified SmartBox metering units mounted on the planter. The SmartChoice-SB 5G, Counter-SB 20G, and Aztec 4.67G insecticide treatments were applied with modified SmartBoxTM metering units. These products were applied as ounces per 1,000-row ft. The commercial

SmartBoxTM was removed from their largebase containers and sandwiched between a flat metal plate on the bottom and a threaded plastic cap on the top. These metering units were connected to the planter's furrow tubes. The Aztec 2.1G insecticide treatments were applied with modified Noble[®] metering units mounted on the planter.

A total of twenty roots were dug from each two-row treatment. Roots were evaluated for rootworm feeding injury on the Iowa State Node-Injury Scale (0–3). The number of plants in 17.5-row ft was taken early in the growing season. Lodging counts were taken at harvest time along with final stand count numbers. Each two row treatment was machine harvested. Weights were converted to bushels/acre of No. 2 shelled corn (56 lb/bushels) at 15.5 percent moisture.

Results and Discussion

The three isoline treatments (checks) had significantly higher node injury and lower product consistency than the other treatments (Table 1). Average stand counts are shown in Table 2. Percent lodging was significantly higher for the three isoline treatments (checks) and the Mycogen isoline with Aztec-SB insecticide applied (Table 3). Differences in yield were observed among hybrids and are detailed in Table 4.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dow AgroSciences, Bayer Crop Science, and AMVAC for providing the funding for this study. Seed was provided by DeKalb and Dow AgroSciences. We also thank Ken Pecinovsky and his staff.

The 2010 Insecticide and Plant-Incorporated Protectants field evaluation report is available on-line at <u>www.ent.iastate.edu</u>.

				Node-	Product
Treatment ^{2,3}	Form.	Rate ⁴	Placement	injury ⁵	consistency ^{6,7}
$My-HXT^2 + SmartChoice-SB$	5G	0.18	Furrow	0.00a	100a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	Furrow	0.01ab	100a
My-HXT ²				0.02ab	100a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	T-Band	0.02abc	100a
$My-HXT^2 + Counter-SB$	20G	0.90	Furrow	0.02abc	100a
My-SSX				0.03abcd	100a
My-HXT ¹				0.06 bcd	100a
YGVT3				0.08 cd	100a
My-Iso + Aztec-SB	4.67G	0.14	Furrow	0.10 d	100a
DeKalb-Iso				1.80 e	0 b
My-Conv				2.09 ef	0 b
My-Iso				2.20 f	0 b

Table 1. Average root-injury and product consistency for evaluation of insecticide treatments and plant-
incorporated protectants. Yield study: Nashua, IA 2010 ¹ .

¹Planted April 21, 2010; evaluated August 4, 2010.

²My-SSX = Mycogen Smartstax (Mycogen 2D692); My-HXT¹ = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen X20625); My-Conv = Mycogen brand Conventional (Mycogen X29624); YGVT3 = YieldGard VT Triple (DKC61-69); DeKalb-Iso = DeKalb brand RR Isoline (DKC 61-72); My-HXT² = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen 2T789); My-Iso = Mycogen brand Herculex I (Mycogen 2T783).

³My-Iso (Mycogen 2T783) is the isoline of My-HXT² (Mycogen 2T789).

⁴Insecticide listed as ounces a.i. per 1,000 row-ft.

⁵Means sharing a common letter do not differ significantly according to Ryan's Q Test ($P \le 0.05$).

⁶Product consistency = percentage of times nodal injury was 0.25 ($\frac{1}{4}$ node eaten) or less.

⁷Means sharing a common letter do not differ significantly according to Ryan's Q Test ($P \le 0.05$).

Table 2. Average stand counts for evaluation of insecticide treatments and plant-incorporated	
protectants. Yield study: Nashua, IA 2010 ¹ .	

				Stand
Treatment ^{2,3}	Form.	Rate ⁴	Placement	count ^{5,6}
YGVT3				35.25a
DeKalb-Iso				35.25a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	T-Band	35.25a
My-HXT ¹				35.00a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	Furrow	34.75a
My-Conv				34.50a
My-Iso + Aztec-SB	4.67G	0.14	Furrow	34.25a
My-SSX				34.00ab
My-Iso				33.50abc
$My-HXT^2 + SmartChoice-SB$	5G	0.18	Furrow	33.50abc
My-HXT ²				31.75 bc
$My-HXT^2 + Counter-SB$	20G	0.90	Furrow	31.50 c

¹Planted April 21, 2010; evaluated May 28 and October 7, 2010.

²My-SSX = Mycogen Smartstax (Mycogen 2D692); My-HXT¹ = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen X20625); My-Conv = Mycogen brand Conventional (Mycogen X29624); YGVT3 = YieldGard VT Triple (DKC61-69); DeKalb-Iso = DeKalb brand RR Isoline (DKC 61-72); My-HXT² = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen 2T789); My-Iso = Mycogen brand Herculex I (Mycogen 2T783).

³My-Iso (Mycogen 2T783) is the isoline of My-HXT² (Mycogen 2T789).

⁴Insecticide listed as ounces a.i. per 1,000 row-ft.

⁵Means based on 16 observations (2-row trt $\times 17.5$ row-ft/treatment $\times 4$ replications $\times 2$ evaluations).

⁶Means sharing a common letter do not differ significantly according to Ryan's Q Test ($P \le 0.05$).

				Lodging ^{5,6}
Treatment ^{2,3}	Form.	Rate ⁴	Placement	%
My-SSX				0a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	T-Band	0a
My-HXT ¹				1a
YGVT3				1a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	Furrow	2a
$My-HXT^2 + Counter-SB$	20G	0.90	Furrow	3a
My-HXT ²				7a
$My-HXT^2 + SmartChoice-SB$	5G	0.18	Furrow	9a
My-Conv				26 b
My-Iso + Aztec-SB	4.67G	0.14	Furrow	31 b
DeKalb-Iso				48 b
<u>My-Iso</u>				54 b

Table 3. Average percent lodging for evaluation of insecticide treatments and plant-incorporated protectants. Yield study: Nashua, IA 2010¹.

¹Planted April 21, 2010; evaluated October 7, 2010.

²My-SSX = Mycogen Smartstax (Mycogen 2D692); My-HXT¹ = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen X20625); My-Conv = Mycogen brand Conventional (Mycogen X29624); YGVT3 = YieldGard VT Triple (DKC61-69); DeKalb-Iso = DeKalb brand RR Isoline (DKC 61-72); My-HXT² = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen 2T789); My-Iso = Mycogen brand Herculex I (Mycogen 2T783).

³My-Iso (Mycogen 2T783) is the isoline of My-HXT² (Mycogen 2T789).

⁴Insecticide listed as ounces a.i. per 1,000 row-ft.

⁵Means based on eight observations (2-row trt ×17.5 row-ft/treatment × 4 replications).

⁶Means sharing a common letter do not differ significantly according to Ryan's Q Test ($P \le 0.05$).

Table 4. Average yield for evaluation of insecticides treatment and plant-incorporated protectants. Yield
study: Nashua, IA 2010 ¹ .

Treatment ^{2,3}	Form	Rate ⁴	Placement	Bushels/ acre ^{5,6}
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	T-Band	226a
YGVT3				221a
YGVT3 + Aztec	2.1G	0.14	Furrow	213ab
$My-HXT^2 + SmartChoice-SB$	5G	0.18	Furrow	203ab
My-HXT ²				199abc
$My-HXT^2 + Counter-SB$	20G	0.90	Furrow	197abc
My-SSX				187 bcd
DeKalb-Iso				186 bcd
My-Iso + Aztec-SB	4.67G	0.14	Furrow	185 bcd
My-HXT ¹				184 bcd
My-Iso				172 cd
My-Conv				161 d

¹Planted April 21, 2010; machine harvested October 13, 2010.

²My-SSX = Mycogen Smartstax (Mycogen 2D692); My-HXT¹ = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen X20625); My-Conv = Mycogen brand Conventional (Mycogen X29624); YGVT3 = YieldGard VT Triple (DKC61-69); DeKalb-Iso = DeKalb brand RR Isoline (DKC 61-72); My-HXT² = Mycogen brand Herculex XTRA (Mycogen 2T789); My-Iso = Mycogen brand Herculex I (Mycogen 2T783).

³My-Iso (Mycogen 2T783) is the isoline of My-HXT² (Mycogen 2T789).

⁴Insecticide listed as ounces a.i. per 1,000 row-ft.

⁵Means sharing a common letter do not differ significantly according to Ryan's Q Test ($P \le 0.05$).

⁶Yields converted to 15.5 percent moisture.