# IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

**Digital Repository** 

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2001

# Soybean Weed Management Studies

Brent A. Pringnitz

Iowa State University, bpring@iastate.edu

Robert G. Hartzler *Iowa State University*, hartzler@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\_reports

Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, and the <u>Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons</u>

## Recommended Citation

Pringnitz, Brent A. and Hartzler, Robert G., "Soybean Weed Management Studies" (2001). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1799.

http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\_reports/1799

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

# Soybean Weed Management Studies

### Abstract

Several studies were conducted in soybeans to evaluate commercially available herbicides for weed control, crop phytotoxicity, and crop yield. Various herbicide treatment combinations and application methods were evaluated.

### Keywords

Agronomy

# Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences

# Soybean Weed Management Studies

Brent A. Pringnitz, extension program specialist,
Robert G. Hartzler, professor,
Department of Agronomy

#### Introduction

Several studies were conducted in soybeans to evaluate commercially available herbicides for weed control, crop phytotoxicity, and crop yield. Various herbicide treatment combinations and application methods were evaluated.

### **Materials and Methods**

The studies were established using a randomized complete block design with three or four replications. Herbicide evaluation plot size was 10 ft by 25 ft. For studies that included yield evaluation the plot size was 15 ft by 25 ft. Herbicides were applied in 20 gallons of water per acre. Visual estimates of percentage weed control and crop injury data were made throughout June and July. Weed control observations are compared with an untreated control and made on a zero to 100 rating scale with zero percent equaling no weed control. Crop injury ratings are on a 0 to 100 rating scale, with 0 representing no crop injury. Weed species and populations evaluated included 50 foxtail, and three to ten waterhemp, Pennsylvania smartweed, and velvetleaf/ft<sup>2</sup>.

The soil was a Canisteo clay loam with a pH of 6.9 and 6.4% organic matter. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replications. The 1999 crop was corn. Tillage included fall chisel plowing and two spring field cultivations. `Asgrow AG2201' glyphosate-tolerant corn was planted 2.0 inches deep on May 5 at 190,000 seeds/A in 30-inch rows. Herbicide application dates and crops stages are presented in Table 1. Precipitation data are presented in Table 2.

#### **Results and Discussion**

(KS-TWO, Table 3) – The ratings indicate the level of weed control prior to postemergence Roundup Ultra application. Following Roundup Ultra application all plots showed good to excellent weed control. Although yields varied among treatments, there were no significant differences. Although preemergence treatments combined with postemergence Roundup Ultra provided better early-season weed control, the were no significant differences in crop yield when compared with the single-pass Roundup Ultra treatment.

(KS-SYST, Table 4) – The most consistent weed control was provided by treatments containing glyphosate (Roundup Ultra, Extreme, Glyphomax Plus) and the combination in treatment 9. The combination of Raptor and Cobra showed significant crop injury. The treatment containing Select + Stellar showed good to excellent foxtail and waterhemp control, but provided poor lambsquarter control.

(KS-RRY, Table 5) – All treatments provided good to excellent weed control. The early postemergence treatments of Roundup Ultra alone and with Dual provided less control of velvetleaf and lambsquarters compared with later treatments. There were no significant differences in soybean yield.

## Acknowledgments

Aventis, BASF, Bayer, Monsanto, and Novartis provided support for these studies. Cindy Greiman, Asgrow Seed Company, provided seed. The authors also acknowledge Dave Rueber and Tom Hartwig for their assistance with these studies.

Table 1. Herbicide application dates and crop stages.

Treatment	Date	Crop stage
Preemergence (PRE)	May 5	
Early postemergence (EPOST)	June 19	5 in.
Postemergence (POST)	June 19	8 in.
Late postemergence (LPOST)	July 7	20 in.

Table 2. Weekly rainfall totals and largest single rainfall following planting.

- table = 1100kg ramman totale and language ramman remembly planting.									
Week after planting	Total rainfall	Largest single rainfall event							
	(inches)	(inches)							
1	0.84	0.43							
2	0.96	0.50							
3	0.10	0.09							
4	1.66	1.09							
5	0.53	0.52							

Table 3. Evaluation of preemergence weed control in planned two-pass program utilizing glyphosate-tolerant soybeans. (KS-TWO)

	Treatment	Rate	Unit/acre	Timina	Foxtail	Lambsquarters		Waterhemp	Soybean yield Oct 10
1	BOUNDARY 7.8EC	1.25	pt	PRE	60	(% weed control) 76 79 78			(bu/acre) 46
'	ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	24 3	fl oz lb	LPOST	00	70	79	70	40
2	BOUNDARY 7.8EC ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	2.5 24 3	pt fl oz lb	PRE LPOST	71	80	85	85	45.9
3	DOMAIN 60WG ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	1 24 3	lb fl oz lb	PRE LPOST	61	83	88	89	35.5
4	PURSUIT (2SL) ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	4 24 3	fl oz fl oz lb	PRE LPOST	88	89	94	84	52.5
5	PURSUIT PLUS (2.9EC) ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	2.5 24 3	pt fl oz lb	PRE LPOST	89	89	90	90	49
6	PENDIMAX 3.3EC PYTHON 80WDG ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	3 1 24 3	pt oz fl oz Ib	PRE LPOST	74	89	89	90	39.3
7	AUTHORITY (75DF) COMMAND 3ME(CS) ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	5 2.67 24 3	oz pt fl oz lb	PRE LPOST	74	91	94	94	49.8
8	ROUNDUP ULTRA (4SL) FERT - 21% AMS	24 3	fl oz lb	LPOST	50	73	85	84	42.9
9	UNTREATED CHECK			CHECK	0	0	0	0	23.7
	LSD (0.05)				17.7	8.4	9.6	7.2	18