IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

2008

Strawberry Cultivar Trial

Vincent Lawson

Iowa State University, vlawson@iastate.edu

Gail R. Nonnecke

Iowa State University, nonnecke@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports

Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, <u>Fruit Science Commons</u>, and the <u>Horticulture Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Lawson, Vincent and Nonnecke, Gail R., "Strawberry Cultivar Trial" (2008). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 725. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/725

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Strawberry Cultivar Trial

Abstract

This project was designed to evaluate 18 strawberry cultivars for their adaptation and fruit quality in Iowa. Cultivar selection is an important component of successful strawberry production and this evaluation provides information about recently released cultivars and germplasm of interest to commercial growers and homeowners.

Keywords

Horticulture

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Fruit Science | Horticulture

Strawberry Cultivar Trial

Vince Lawson, farm superintendent Gail Nonnecke, professor Department of Horticulture

Introduction

This project was designed to evaluate 18 strawberry cultivars for their adaptation and fruit quality in Iowa. Cultivar selection is an important component of successful strawberry production and this evaluation provides information about recently released cultivars and germplasm of interest to commercial growers and homeowners.

Materials and Methods

2004. Plots were established on May 10 with 15 plants set 18 in. apart within the row, and rows were spaced 4 ft apart. Runner plants were allowed to develop a 2-ft-wide matted row. Plants were mulched with 4 in. of straw for winter protection in December. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replications.

2005. Straw mulch was removed on April 5 and plants were fertilized with nitrogen (N) (34-0-0) to provide sufficient N for plant growth and development for growing on a coarse sandy soil. Unusually warm spring temperatures were conducive to early strawberry plant growth. Late spring freezes occurred on May 2 (28°F), May 3 (23°F) and May 4 (27°F). Overhead irrigation for frost protection was not available and many primary flowers were killed. Plants were harvested from June 13–27 and the planting was renovated using conventional practices on June 30.

2006. Straw mulch was raked off plants on April 15 and nitrogen (46-0-0) applied to plots at 25 lb N/acre. A light freeze (31°F) occurred

on April 26, but plants were protected by a spun-bonded polyester plant cover. Strawberries were harvested June 6–23 then plots were renovated and Dacthal herbicide applied.

2007. Unusually warm temperatures causing plant growth necessitated mulch removal on March 28. Nitrogen was sidedressed at 30 lb/acre. Trial harvest took place May 24–June 15. Trial was terminated and plots were tilled after harvest.

Results and Discussion

Due to unfavorable weather (damaging spring freezes) and site location (sandy, irrigated soil) trial yields were lower than expected, however, clear differences between cultivars for yield and fruit quality were recorded. Jewel, because of its consistent production of attractive, good quality fruit, was the standard to beat in this evaluation. St. Pierre, Cavendish, 91.80.2, and Canoga also showed merit producing above average yields of marketable berries. Darselect deserves mention because of its pleasant sweet flavor, that was comparable to Allstar, but with more appealing attractive berries. Allstar, at this location, had a tendency to produce orange berries with a rough distorted shape. The experimental line, 88.74.1, produced exceptionally large berries but primary berries were often irregularly shaped and hollow. The line 88.74.1 and Cabot had very limited runner production and did not form wide matted rows like the other cultivars. Early season cultivars Northeastern, Evangeline, Brunswick, and Honeoye were hurt by cold spells each spring that damaged primary flowers resulting in lower yields and average berry size. Additional comments on cultivars are found in Table 2.

Table 1. Strawberry cultivar yield and berry size for 2005, 2006, and 2007, Fruitland, IA.

| | 2005-2007 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | Total yield | Yield | Yield | Yield | Avg. berry | Avg. berry | Avg berry |
| Cultivar | lb/acre | lb/acre | lb/acre | lb/acre | wt (lb) | wt (lb) | wt (lb) |
| Jewel | 24,144 | 6,212 | 11,363 | 6,569 | 9.1 | 10.6 | 10.5 |
| 88.74.1 | 20,412 | 7,511 | 6,347 | 6,554 | 21.8 | 14.1 | 13.6 |
| 91.80.2 | 20,207 | 6,890 | 7,055 | 6,262 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 12.8 |
| St. Pierre | 19,898 | 7,416 | 6,657 | 5,825 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.3 |
| Cavendish | 19,258 | 7,366 | 7,349 | 4,543 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 11.8 |
| Canoga | 18,514 | 8,464 | 6,360 | 3,690 | 8.5 | 10.9 | 15.7 |
| Seneca | 17,325 | 6,942 | 6,482 | 3,901 | 12.1 | 9.7 | 11.7 |
| Darselect | 16,826 | 7,033 | 6,512 | 3,281 | 10.0 | 8.7 | 10.9 |
| Allstar | 16,161 | 5,726 | 5,472 | 4,963 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 9.4 |
| Eros | 15,848 | 6,992 | 4,280 | 4,576 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 10.8 |
| Ovation | 15,575 | 6,484 | 6,084 | 3,007 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 11.4 |
| Honeoye | 14,831 | 3,208 | 6,135 | 5,488 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 8.2 |
| Brunswick | 13,826 | 3,086 | 5,954 | 4,786 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 11.0 |
| Clancy | 13,389 | 2,262 | 6,359 | 4,768 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 11.7 |
| Cabot | 12,698 | 4,955 | 5,043 | 2,700 | 11.8 | 13.8 | 12.7 |
| E9.A5.13 | 8,511 | 1,917 | 4,038 | 2,556 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 10.4 |
| Evangeline | 8,117 | 3,924 | 1,913 | 2,280 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 7.0 |
| Northeastern | 6,344 | 270 | 2,844 | 3,230 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 10.1 |
| | • | | • | • | | | |
| Average | 15,660 | 5,370 | 5,903 | 4,388 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 |

Table 2. Cultivar observations and comments.

| Cultivar | Observations and comments. | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Jewel | Great appearance, uniform size, fairly firm, pleasant strawberry flavor | | | |
| 88.74.1 | Primary berries very large with irregularly shape, limited runner production | | | |
| 91.80.2 | Round to heart-shaped berries had prominent seed | | | |
| St. Pierre | Nice uniform berry shape, bright light red fruit color | | | |
| Cavendish | Firm berries with uniform shape but tended to color unevenly | | | |
| Canoga | Attractive glossy red berries, firm texture, heart shaped | | | |
| Seneca | Berries exceptionally firm, tart | | | |
| Darselect | Bright red berry color, pleasant sweet flavor | | | |
| Allstar | Light red coloration, irregular globular shape but great flavor | | | |
| Eros | Light red color, fairly firm, noted a lot of grey mold on berries in 2006 | | | |
| Ovation | Attractive bright red with uniform conical shape | | | |
| Honeoye | Dark red berries were small in this trial and tart | | | |
| Brunswick | Soft dark red berries with rounded shape | | | |
| Clancy | Dark red berries were attractive, firm texture and good flavor | | | |
| Cabot | Large berries with pronounced conical shape, weak runner formation | | | |
| E9.A5.13 | Soft berries, developed severe skin cracking during wet weather | | | |
| Evangeline | Nice looking but small dark red berries with pointed cone shape | | | |
| Northeastern | Bright red berries were heart shaped | | | |