IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports

1-1-2015

Preplant and Postemergence Herbicide Programs for Weed Control in No-till Soybean

Micheal Owen Iowa State University, mdowen@iastate.edu

Damian Franzenburg *Iowa State University*, dfranzen@iastate.edu

James Lee Iowa State University, jmlee@iastate.edu

Jacob Eeling Iowa State University, jseeling@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, <u>Agriculture Commons</u>, <u>Agronomy and Crop</u> <u>Sciences Commons</u>, and the <u>Natural Resources and Conservation Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Owen, Micheal; Franzenburg, Damian; Lee, James; and Eeling, Jacob, "Preplant and Postemergence Herbicide Programs for Weed Control in No-till Soybean" (2015). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 2200. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/2200

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Preplant and Postemergence Herbicide Programs for Weed Control in No-till Soybean

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate various herbicides applied early pre-plant in no-till soybean for crop injury and weed control.

Keywords

Agronomy

Disciplines

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences | Natural Resources and Conservation

Preplant and Postemergence Herbicide Programs for Weed Control in No-till Soybean

RFR-A1479

Micheal Owen, university professor Damian Franzenburg, ag specialist James Lee, ag specialist Jacob Eeling, research associate Department of Agronomy

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to evaluate various herbicides applied early pre-plant in no-till soybean for crop injury and weed control.

Materials and Methods

The study was established using a randomized complete block design with three replications. Herbicides were applied in 15 gallons of water per acre. The crop rotation was soybean following corn. The seedbed was left untilled from the 2013 corn cropping season. Early preplant (EPP) treatments were applied May 16, and soybeans were planted at 188,000 seeds/acre in 30-in. rows May 23. Postemergence (POST) herbicide treatments were applied June 27 to V3 to V4 soybeans. Weeds were generally < 1 in. and 2–5 in. tall at the EPP and POST application dates, respectively. Weed species in the study included giant foxtail, velvetleaf, common lambsquarters, and Pennsylvania smartweed with an average population density of < 1-2plants/ft². Visual estimates of soybean injury and percentage weed control were made several times during the growing season. These observations were compared with an untreated control and made on a zero to 100 rating scale (0% = no control or injury; 100%)= complete control or crop kill).

Results and Discussion

Summarized in Tables 1 and 2 are the results

of the study. None of the treatments caused soybean injury (data not shown). On June 7, 22 days after application (DAA), EPP 2,4-D + Roundup PowerMax provided 98, 91, and 88 percent giant foxtail, common lambsquarters, and Pennsylvania smartweed control, respectively (Table 1). EPP Enlite + 2,4-D + Abundit Extra gave 98, 99, and 95 percent control, respectively. All other treatments were observed to provide at least 98 percent control of all weeds.

On June 20, 35 DAA, EPP Authority Assist + Roundup PowerMAX afforded 98 percent giant foxtail control and the other treatments gave 92 to 95 percent control (Table 2). Velvetleaf pressure in the experiment was variable, and control by the treatments ranged from 81 to 96 percent with no significant differences between any of the treatments. 2,4-D and Fierce, each tank mixed with Roundup PowerMAX, provided 88 and 86 percent common lambsquarters control, respectively. The remaining treatments gave 92 to 98 percent control. Similarly, 2,4-D and Fierce each provided 88 and 91 percent Pennsylvania smartweed control, respectively. The remaining treatments gave 93 to 99 percent control.

On July 25, with the exception of 96 percent control of giant foxtail by EPP 2,4-D + Roundup PowerMAX + POST Roundup PowerMAX, all treatments afforded at least 98 percent weed control at 28 days following the POST applications (data not shown).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ken Pecinovsky and farm staff for their assistance with this study. Funding for this study was provided by the crop protection industry.

		Appln	Setfa ¹	Cheal	Polpy	
Treatment	Rate	timing	Jun 7	Jun 7	Jun 7	
	product/acre		% weed control			
Untreated			0	0	0	
2,4-D LV4 +	16.0 fl oz +	EPP +	98	91	88	
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS ^a +	32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS + NIS ^b)	(32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb + 0.25 % v/v ^c)	(POST)				
Fierce +	3.0 oz wt +	EPP +	99	99	99	
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS + NIS	32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb + 0.25 % v/v					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb +	(POST)				
NIS)	0.25 % v/v)					
Enlite + 2,4-D LV4 +	4.25 oz wt + 1.0 pt +	EPP +	98	99	95	
Abudit Extra + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +					
(Abudit Extra + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +	(POST)				
Assure II)	6.0 fl oz)					
Envive + 2,4-D LV4 +	3.5 oz wt + 1.0 pt +	EPP +	98	99	99	
Abudit Extra + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +					
(Abudit Extra + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +	(POST)				
Assure II)	6.0 fl oz)					
Optill +	2.0 oz wt +	EPP +	99	99	99	
Roundup PowerMAX + MSO ^d +	32.0 fl oz + 1.0 % v/v +					
AMS +	8.5 lb/100 gal +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS)	(32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal)	(POST)				
Warrant +	3.0 pt +	EPP +	99	99	98	
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS)	(32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal)	(POST)				
2,4-D LV4 + Sonic +	16.0 fl oz + 6.0 oz wt +	EPP +	99	98	99	
Durango DMA +	1.5 pt +					
N-Pak AMS Liquid ^e +	2.5 % v/v +					
(Durango DMA +	(1.5 pt +	(POST)				
N-Pak AMS Liquid)	2.5 % v/v)					
Authority Assist +	12.0 fl oz +	EPP +	99	99	99	
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS)	(32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal)	(POST)				
LSD $(P = .05)$			2	6	5	

Table 1. Preplant and postemergence weed control in no-till soybean.

LSD (P = .05)

 $^{a}AMS = ammonium sulfate fertilizer from United Suppliers.$

 b NIS = Preference nonionic surfactant from Winfield Solutions.

^cVolume of product per volume tank mix.

^dMSO = methylated seed oil from United Suppliers.

^eN-Pak AMS liquid = ammonium sulfate from Winfield Solutions, LLC.

^fSetfa = giant foxtail, Cheal = common lambsquarters, Polpy = Pennsylvania smartweed.

		Appln	Setfa ^r	Abuth ^r	Cheal	Polpy
Treatment	Rate	timing	Jun 20	Jun 20	Jun 20	Jun 20
	product/acre		% weed control			
Untreated			0	0		0
2,4-D LV4 +	16.0 fl oz +	EPP +	92	93	88	88
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS ^a +	32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb +	(POST)				
NIS^{o})	$0.25 \% v/v^{c}$					
Fierce +	3.0 oz wt +	EPP +	95	88	86	91
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb +					
NIS	0.25 % v/v					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.5 lb +	(POST)				
NIS)	0.25 % v/v)					
Enlite + 2,4-D LV4 +	4.25 oz wt + 1.0 pt +	EPP +	95	81	98	93
Abudit Extra + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +					
(Abudit Extra + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +	(POST)				
Assure II)	6.0 fl oz)					
Envive + 2,4-D LV4 +	3.5 oz wt + 1.0 pt +	EPP +	95	88	96	96
Abudit Extra + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +					
(Abudit Extra + AMS +	(32.0 fl oz + 2.0 lb +	(POST)				
Assure II)	6.0 fl oz)					
Optill +	2.0 oz wt +	EPP +	95	89	98	99
Roundup PowerMAX + MSO ^d +	32.0 fl oz + 1.0 % v/v +					
AMS +	8.5 lb/100 gal +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS)	(32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal)	(POST)				
Warrant +	3.0 pt +	EPP +	93	83	92	96
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS)	(32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal)	(POST)				
2,4-D LV4 + Sonic +	16.0 fl oz + 6.0 oz wt +	ÈPP +	95	92	98	96
Durango DMA +	1.5 pt +					
N-Pak AMS Liquid ^e +	$2.5 \frac{1}{10} v/v +$					
(Durango DMA +	(1.5 pt +	(POST)				
N-Pak AMS Liquid)	2.5 % v/v					
Authority Assist +	12.0 fl oz +	EPP +	98	96	98	98
Roundup PowerMAX + AMS +	32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal +					
(Roundup PowerMAX + AMS)	(32.0 fl oz + 8.5 lb/100 gal)	(POST)				
LSD ($P = .05$)			3	19	11	7

Table 2. Preplant and postemergence weed control in no-till soybean.

^aAMS = ammonium sulfate fertilizer from United Suppliers.

^bNIS = Preference nonionic surfactant from Winfield Solutions.

^cVolume of product per volume tank mix.

^dMSO = methylated seed oil from United Suppliers.

^eN-Pak AMS liquid = ammonium sulfate from Winfield Solutions, LLC.

^fSetfa = giant foxtail, Abuth = velvetleaf, Cheal = common lambsquarters, Polpy = Pennsylvania smartweed.