# **On-Farm Ammonium Sulfate Fertilization** of Soybean Demonstration Trials

#### **RFR-A2055**

Mike Witt, On-Farm trials coordinator, extension field agronomist Jim Rogers, Armstrong Farm, ag specialist Gary Thompson, McNay Farm, ag specialist Chris Beedle, Western Farm, superintendent Brandon Zwiefel, Northern Farm, ag specialist Zack Koopman, AEA Farm, ag specialist Ken Pecinovsky, Northeast Farm, superintendent

#### Introduction

Applying ammonium sulfate (AMS) to soybean is a practice that has the potential to add sulfur and nitrogen benefits for yield and agronomic potential. The goal of this study is to determine if there is a yield difference between strips applied with AMS and those without. This study is an initial design, which will determine if there is an impact of AMS. The trial is not designed to validate which component of the AMS (nitrogen or sulfur) could be causing the potential benefits. It is designed as a demonstration that could lead to potential research validation trials in the future.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The response of soybean yield to an AMS application was investigated in 12 trials in 2020 (Table 1). A granular Sulf-N® ammonium sulfate (21-0-0-24S) was provided in partnership with AdvanSix Corporation. Field sites for these trials were selected based on the criteria of mid-low organic matter, coarse textured soils, and lower clay content. Soil sampling analysis was taken at each site July 2020, after fertilization (Table 2). None of the fields had a manure history and this was the first year of AMS application in all trial sites. The treatment rate for AMS was 30 lb N/acre (142.8 lb. AMS/acre) and applied with a granular spreader. Application occurred between planting and soybean V2 growth stage. Strips with AMS were compared with untreated strips. Trials were conducted on ISU research farms and on farm cooperator fields. Strips were arranged in a randomized complete block design with at least three replications per treatment. Strip size varied from field-to-field depending on field and equipment size. All strips were machine harvested for yield.

### **Results and Discussion**

There was not a significant response to the AMS application in soybean yield in 9 of the 12 trials at a level of  $P \le 0.10$  (Table 3). In trials 200406 and 200603, there was a significant positive yield response to the AMS of 4 to 9 bushels/acre advantage. In trials 200303 and 200304, there was a significant loss of yield from applying AMS of 3 bushels. Both trials 200303 and 200304 had a field notation of increased lodging at harvest, which could possibly account for the yield loss.

These results indicate there are soybean fields in Iowa that could benefit from AMS application, however, as found in prior research, not all fields planted to soybean will have a yield increase from AMS application. This trial is not designed to evaluate if increased amounts of nitrogen or sulfur contributed to the yield changes in four trials. In prior research in Iowa, soybean yields both increased and decreased with a sulfur or nitrogen application. The variability in results makes it difficult to draw any conclusions from these trials. For more information on sulfur management see ISU extension publication CROP 3072 (http://www.agronext.iastate.edu/soilfertility/i nfo/CROP3072.pdf).

## Acknowledgements

This project was a collaboration with ISU On-Farm Demonstration Trials and AdvanSix Corporation. NOTE: The results presented are from replicated demonstration trials. Statistics are used to detect differences at a location and should not be interpreted beyond the single location.

# Table 1. Variety, row spacing, planting date, planting population, previous crop, tillage practices, and soil type in the 2020 AMS trials on soybean.

|        |               |                         | Row     |          | Planting   |                        |                                       |                                        |
|--------|---------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|        | ~             |                         | spacing | Planting | population | Previous               |                                       | ~                                      |
| Trial  | County        | Variety                 | (in.)   | date     | (seeds/ac) | crop                   | Tillage                               | Soil type                              |
| 200002 | Lucas         | Pioneer<br>33A53        | 18      | 5/2/20   | 140,000    | Corn                   | Vertical                              | Edina-211,<br>Haig-362,<br>Grundy-364B |
| 200009 | Lucas         | Pioneer<br>33A53        | 18      | 5/2/20   | 140,000    | Corn                   | Vertical                              | Edina-211,<br>Haig-362,<br>Grundy-364B |
| 200301 | Monona        | LG<br>2444RX            | 30      | 5/22/20  | 140,000    | Corn                   | 1 pass<br>disc                        | Monona-<br>510B                        |
| 200302 | Monona        | LG<br>2580RX            | 30      | 6/6/20   | 140,000    | Corn-<br>Spring<br>Rye | 1 pass<br>disc                        | Nishna-234                             |
| 200303 | Monona        | LG<br>2898LL            | 30      | 5/21/20  | 140,000    | Corn                   | No-till                               | Monona-<br>10D2                        |
| 200304 | Monona        | LG<br>2888              | 30      | 5/13/20  | 140,000    | Corn                   | No-till                               | Ida-IE3                                |
| 200406 | Hancock       | Pioneer<br>21A28X       | 30      | 5/4/20   | 140,000    | Corn                   | Conven.                               | Canisteo-507                           |
| 200502 | Boone         | Pioneer<br>2659LL       | 30      | 5/17/20  | 140,000    | Corn                   | Fall<br>ripped<br>spring<br>cultivate | Harps-95,<br>Bemis<br>Moraine          |
| 200505 | Boone         | Pioneer<br>25A96L       | 30      | 5/17/20  | 140,000    | Corn                   | Fall<br>ripped<br>spring<br>cultivate | Harps-95,<br>Bemis<br>Moraine          |
| 200603 | Pottawattamie | BASF<br>CZ 2830<br>GTLL | 30      | 4/25/20  | 140,000    | Corn                   | No-till                               | Exira-99D2                             |
| 200609 | Adair         | BASF<br>CZ2830<br>GTLL  | 30      | 5/6/20   | 140,000    | Corn                   | No-till                               | Nira-570C                              |
| 200802 | Floyd         | Pioneer<br>22A24X       | 30      | 5/1/20   | 189,000    | Corn                   | No-till                               | Clyde-84,<br>Floyd-198B                |

|         |        |     |      | Bray |            |     |      |     |      |     |        |     |      |
|---------|--------|-----|------|------|------------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|--------|-----|------|
| <b></b> | Sample | %   | Ν    | 1 P  | K          | Mg  | Ca   | S   | Zn   |     | Buffer | Na  | CEC  |
| Trial   | depth  | OM  | ppm  | ppm  | <u>ppm</u> | ppm | ppm  | ppm | ppm  | pН  | pH     | ppm | CEC  |
| 200002  | 0-6    | 3.3 | 10.2 | 7    | 75         | 295 | 3159 | 8   | 1.41 | 7   | 7.5    | 33  | 18.6 |
| 200002  | 6-12   | 2.6 | 3.7  | 2    | 59         | 279 | 2923 | 22  | 0.64 | 6.9 | 7.5    | 50  | 17.3 |
| 200009  | 0-6    | 3   | 9.7  | 18   | 88         | 289 | 3441 | 3   | 0.99 | 7   | 7.5    | 10  | 19.9 |
| 200009  | 6-12   | 2.2 | 4.2  | 5    | 57         | 321 | 2849 | 3   | 0.26 | 6.9 | 7.5    | 17  | 17.1 |
| 200301  | 0-6    | 2.4 | 8.9  | 3    | 75         | 316 | 2267 | 2   | 0.54 | 5.8 | 6.8    | 6   | 16.9 |
| 200301  | 6-12   | 2.2 | 18   | 14   | 165        | 269 | 1669 | 4   | 0.82 | 5.1 | 6.5    | 5   | 17   |
| 200302  | 0-6    | 2.7 | 17.4 | 24   | 101        | 436 | 2641 | 4   | 1.06 | 5.7 | 6.7    | 7   | 20.9 |
| 200302  | 6-12   | 1.9 | 5    | 12   | 70         | 436 | 2768 | 2   | 0.62 | 6.6 | 7.5    | 9   | 17.7 |
| 200303  | 0-6    | 2   | 21.1 | 15   | 171        | 239 | 4010 | 5   | 0.71 | 8   | 7.5    | 5   | 22.5 |
| 200303  | 6-12   | 1.3 | 11.7 | 16   | 86         | 225 | 4188 | 4   | 0.22 | 8.2 | 7.5    | 3   | 23   |
| 200304  | 0-6    | 3   | 17.1 | 21   | 153        | 275 | 1820 | 5   | 0.64 | 5.5 | 6.8    | 8   | 15.2 |
| 200304  | 6-12   | 2.4 | 7.4  | 6    | 74         | 281 | 1997 | 4   | 0.24 | 5.9 | 6.8    | 5   | 15.2 |
| 200406  | 0-6    | 3.7 | 15.3 | 27   | 181        | 556 | 4012 | 5   | 0.96 | 5.8 | 6.6    | 5   | 30.1 |
| 200406  | 6-12   | 3.1 | 6.3  | 14   | 51         | 564 | 4400 | 2   | 0.16 | 7.3 | 7.5    | 5   | 26.9 |
| 200502  | 0-6    | 5.1 | 13.5 | 43   | 168        | 572 | 5174 | 4   | 1.84 | 6.7 | 7.5    | 3   | 31.1 |
| 200502  | 6-12   | 4.6 | 11.4 | 27   | 63         | 462 | 6547 | 3   | 0.58 | 7.8 | 7.5    | 4   | 36.8 |
| 200505  | 0-6    | 4   | 9.6  | 15   | 108        | 544 | 4422 | 4   | 1.08 | 6.4 | 6.9    | 4   | 29.5 |
| 200505  | 6-12   | 3.2 | 5.5  | 18   | 86         | 593 | 5491 | 24  | 0.21 | 7.3 | 7.5    | 43  | 32.8 |
| 200603  | 0-6    | 2.8 | 14.5 | 7    | 126        | 383 | 3762 | 5   | 0.63 | 7.1 | 7.5    | 5   | 22.3 |
| 200603  | 6-12   | 2.5 | 5.1  | 2    | 86         | 391 | 3028 | 6   | 0.12 | 6.8 | 7.5    | 6   | 18.6 |
| 200609  | 0-6    | 3.3 | 17.2 | 23   | 174        | 423 | 3707 | 4   | 1.31 | 6.1 | 6.7    | 8   | 26.2 |
| 200609  | 6-12   | 3.2 | 4.8  | 5    | 116        | 490 | 3582 | 3   | 0.36 | 6.8 | 7.5    | 11  | 22.3 |
| 200802  | 0-6    | 2.1 | 7.2  | 19   | 239        | 214 | 1671 | 4   | 3.28 | 5.7 | 6.7    | 4   | 14.4 |
| 200802  | 6-12   | 2.1 | 6.8  | 5    | 73         | 283 | 1979 | 5   | 0.26 | 5.5 | 6.6    | 5   | 17.8 |

Table 2. Soil test results for the 2020 AMS trials on soybean.

| Trial  | Treatment                       | Yield (bu/ac) <sup>a</sup> | P-value <sup>b</sup> |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 200002 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 54 a                       | 0.49                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 55 a                       |                      |
| 200009 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 59 a                       | 0.57                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 60 a                       |                      |
| 200301 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 61 a                       | 0.76                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 62 a                       |                      |
| 200302 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 61 a                       | 0.42                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 62 a                       |                      |
| 200303 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 53 a                       | 0.14                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 56 a                       |                      |
| 200304 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 66 a                       | 0.01                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 69 b                       |                      |
| 200406 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 70 a                       | 0.07                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 66 b                       |                      |
| 200502 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 55 a                       | 0.54                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 55 a                       |                      |
| 200505 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 61 a                       | 0.64                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 62 a                       |                      |
| 200603 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 69 a                       | 0.02                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 60 b                       |                      |
| 200609 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 71 a                       | 0.24                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 65 a                       |                      |
| 200802 | AMS (21-0-0-24S) at 142.8 lb/ac | 62 a                       | 0.78                 |
|        | Untreated                       | 61 a                       |                      |

Table 3. Yield from corn and soybean AMS trials in 2020.

<sup>a</sup>Values denoted with the same letter within a trial are not statistically different at the significance level of 0.10. <sup>b</sup>P-value = the calculated probability that the difference in yields can be attributed to the treatments and not other factors. For example, if a trial has a P-value of 0.10, then we are 90 percent confident the yield differences are in response to treatments. This is consistent with demonstration trials.