# Independent Study 490A: 5 vs. 10-d of Handling; Which One is Better?

## A.S. Leaflet R2699

Stephanie Ball, undergraduate research assistant;
Reid Den Herder, undergraduate research assistant;
Holland Dougherty, undergraduate research assistant;
Anna Johnson, associate professor,
Department of Animal Science,
Iowa State University;
Mick McAuliffe, Pet Behavioral Counselor,
Animal Rescue League of Iowa, Des Moines, IA

#### **Summary and Implications**

The adoptability of an animal from a shelter largely depends upon its socialization and friendliness towards humans. For kittens, habituation and proper socialization is an important part of ensuring that the adult cat it will be able to interact properly with humans, thus reducing its chance of being relinquished in the future. In addition, kittens that have been relinquished or placed into a shelter are often subject to several stressors that may impact not only the well-being of the kitten but impair further socialization attempts. The objective of this study was to determine if there were behavioral differences between two handling regimes for kittens relinquished to the ARL-IA. This study was conducted at the Animal Rescue League of Iowa (ARL-IA), and involved 31 neonate kittens of mixed sex and breed, between 6 and 8 weeks of age. Treatment (5-d) five consecutive days of handling and treatment two (10-d) ten consecutive days of handling. During treatment kittens were exposed to several tests. The experimental unit was the kitten and a complete randomized experimental design was utilized. PROC GLIMMIX was used. A *P*-value of  $P \le 0.05$ was considered significant. There were differences (P <0.05) between treatments for the amount of time that kittens were willing to let their rear paws be held. Kittens assigned to 5-d allowed both their rear paws to be held longer than those from the 10-d treatment. For all other handling measures there were no differences. In conclusion, handling kittens over 10-consectuive days indicated that kittens became less tolerant of having their rear paws held compared to a 5-d treatment and therefore there may be an optimal amount of handling before kittens begin to find this procedure more aversive.

#### Introduction

Kittens which are relinquished or placed into a shelter can be exposed to a barrage of novel or unfamiliar situations that may impinge on the individual kittens' well-being It may be possible to reduce and/or eliminate stressors that can act individually or in concert that ultimately affect the kitten

whilst staying at the ARL-IA. Identified stressors may include other animals, people, facility design, and transportation to the shelter, changes in nutrition and the environment. Stressors that impinge upon a kitten may vary in time, intensity, mode, and degree of novelty. The kitten is developing mechanisms to deal with both acute and chronic stressors. The "additive stressor model" concept was proposed by Broom and Johnson in 1993. Using ARL-IA shelter as an example, if a kitten is subjected to multiple stressors within a short period of time, and time is not allowed for rest and recovery in between each subsequent stressor application, the kitten's baseline physiological levels may rise and the kitten will take longer to recover. In the most severe of cases, the kitten may become fatigued, sick or even die.

The objective of this study was to determine if there were behavioral differences between two handling regimes for kittens relinquished to the ARL-IA.

### **Materials and Methods**

The protocol for this experiment was approved by the Iowa State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (1-11-7057-F). The experiment was conducted over March and April 2011.

**Arrival:** Upon arrival at the ARL-IA kittens were subjected to a health check performed by a vet tech, which included a check-up, administration of a dewormer, and vaccinations. All kittens were then allowed 3-d to acclimate to their new housing.

Animals, housing and feeding: This study was performed at the Animal Rescue League (ARL) of Iowa, located in Des Moines, IA. A total of 31 neonate kittens mixed sex and breed were observed. Neonate was defined as eyes and ear canals open. Kittens ranged between 6 and 8 wk of age and weighed between 680 and 970 grams. All behavior evaluations were conducted by two trained undergraduate research assistants. All kittens were brought in as strays and did not have a Queen. Kittens were kept as the litter that they were brought in as. In the cattery room there were 8 cages. Each cage measured 0.66 m wide  $\times$  1.2 m long x 0.8 m high. The cage had stainless steel wire meshing at the front. In each there as one water bowl and one feed bowl and kittens were provided bedding material. Kittens were observed at least three times a day by the ARL-IA staff.

**Treatments;** Two treatments were compared: 5-d (n = 14) vs. 10-d (n = 17) of consecutive kitten handling. Handling of kittens by other staff members was limited to spot clean during the trial time period.

Handling procedure: The day before testing began, the stomachs of the kittens were shaved and each kitten was identified by a number on their shaved stomach. On the day of testing one handler was responsible for handling of kittens regardless of treatment. Cell phones were turned off before entering the room and hands were washed. All clothing that the handlers wore had not been around other animals to reduce the issue of unintentional exposure to a sensory environment. One kitten at a time was removed from the cage, proceeded through the handling tests and was then replaced back into the cage with their littermates. The order of testing each day for the kittens was done in a randomized order but the order of tests was consistent (Table One). During the test no verbal or physical reinforcement/correction was directed towards the kitten by the handler.

Statistical Analysis: The experimental unit was the kitten and a complete randomized experimental design was utilized. PROC Univariate determined that the data was not normally distributed. PROC Glimmix (SAS) was used to analyze the data. The statistical model included the parameter of interest (handling measures from table one), treatment (5 vs. 10-d of consecutive handling) and kitten age was a linear covariate. The random statement was kitten

nested within treatment. A *P*-value of  $P \le 0.05$  was considered significant and I-Link was performed to transform values for means and standard errors.

#### **Results and Discussion**

There were differences (P < 0.05) between treatments for the amount of time that kittens were willing to let their rear paws be held. Kittens assigned to 5-d allowed both their rear paws to be held longer than those from the 10-d treatment. For all other handling measures there were no differences (Table Two).

In conclusion, handling kittens over 10-consectuive days indicated that kittens became less tolerant of having their rear paws held compared to a 5-d treatment and therefore there may be an optimal amount of handling before kittens begin to find this procedure more aversive. This data could be further used in developing socialization and handling acclimatization programs for kittens in shelters, thereby increasing their adoptability and overall well-being, both in the present and in the future.

### Acknowledgements

Special thanks to the felines and all the staff of the Animal Rescue League of Iowa.

**Table One: Handling Tests** 

Table Two. Behavioral measures for kittens handled 5

Measure	Definition	Recording levels		v <b>Deläni</b> tiatrthe ARL-	
Held to chest	Pick up kitten	1: Remains calm,	Teeth check	Lift up ofheesithenennit	
	under belly. Bring kitten to	purrs, moves in for interaction.	Measure	kitten lips for 3 s.	purrs, body relaxed, 10 P-value accepts handling.
	upper chest.	2: Struggles but	Held	$1.22 \pm 0.13$	.24 <b>Troess</b> avoid .90
	Rear legs placement on one	struggling reduces for at least the last 5 s.	Repetitive strok	e $1.10 \pm 0.13$	handling, mild .21 ± 0.08 vocalization, does
	hand, second hand	Mild vocalization.	Left ear	$1.40 \pm 0.21$	.360±10a5/e table,0.90
	support kitten body.	3: Tries to escape continuously,	Right ear	$1.11 \pm 0.18$	accepts after first .47 ± 0.12 0.13
	Hold for 10 s.	excessive	Right teeth	$1.44 \pm 0.20$	.78 Tiget 30 escape20
		vocalization, becomes fractious.	Left teeth	$1.58 \pm 0.21$	excessive .72 ± 0.15. vocalization, 0.62
			Scruff	$1.05 \pm 0.12$	. 15eco00008 fractio0159
Repetitive stroke	Pick up kitten under belly.	1: Remains calm, purrs, arches into	Scruff Paw front right	restraint on the	1: Remains calm 5:80 ± 0.35 purrs, body relaxed,
	Place kitten onto	petting, moves in for	Paw front left		.4iceθt§3cruff. 0.54
	test table with towel.	interaction. 2: Moves around on	Paw rear right	and release 10.18 ± 0.98	2: Tries to avoid handling, mild
	Run hand from base of kitten's	table, accepting of petting, does not leave	Paw rear left	$8.62 \pm 0.80$	vocalization, body 5.52 ± 0.53 relaxes during
	neck to tip of tail.	table.	Righting	$1.40 \pm 0.14$	. <b>59</b> m <b>f</b> .09 0.32
	Repeat three times.	3: Tries to escape			3: Tries to escape,
		continuously,			excessive
		excessive			vocalization,
		vocalization, becomes			becomes fractious.
		fractious.	_		
Ear check	Ear check: holding	1: Remains calm,	Paw grasp	Kitten is placed on	Length of time for
	the kitten's head to	purrs, body relaxed,		the human's lap,	paw to be pulled
	complete a visual inspection of one	accepts handling. 2: Tries to avoid		gently tip kitten back towards your	back/away.
	ear for 3 s.	handling, Mild		body. Each paw is	
	car for 5 s.	vocalization, does not		gently grasped by	
		leave table, and		the human and	
		accepts after first <sup>t</sup>		held for 10 s	
		interaction.		(mimic trim/ paw).	
		3: Tries to escape,		Once paw is	
		excessive		pulled back, test	
		vocalization, becomes		on that paw is	
		fractious.		concluded.	