Effect of Pendulation on an *SO*(3)-Based Attitude Estimator for Precision Pointing of an Atmospheric Balloon-Borne Platform

David Evan Zlotnik and James Richard Forbes

Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI, USA

June 27, 2014

Attitude Estimation

- The process of estimating the orientation of a body from available measurements.
 - Rate gyros, accelerometers, magnetometers, sun sensors, etc.
- Required for autonomous maneuvers in many robotic vehicles.
 - Spacecraft, UAVs, and many balloon-borne platforms.
- Typically accomplished using an extended Kalman filter (EKF).



Credit: UTIAS and BLAST.

Motivating Example: McHAB

- Developing an atmospheric balloon platform to carry a calibrating microwave source.
- An adequate attitude control system that will enable precision pointing is needed.



Zlotnik & Forbes, Michigan Effect of Pendulation on an Attitude Estimator June 27, 2014

3/23

Motivating Example: McHAB

- Low cost IMU:
 - Accelerometer.
 - Magnetometer.
 - Rate gyro.
- Traditional EKF technique is difficult to apply robustly with poor quality sensors.
- Nonlinearities and non-Gaussian noise leads to poor performance.
- Motivated the use of a nonlinear estimator.

Accelerometer

 Low frequency disturbances (e.g. pendulation) can result in inaccurate measurements.





Background and Motivation

Attitude Parameterizations

- The rotation matrix uniquely and globally describes the attitude of a body.
 - Belong to SO(3), $SO(3) = \{ \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} | \mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{1}, \ \text{det} \mathbf{C} = +1 \}.$
- Three-set parameterizations (Euler angles, Gibbs parameters, etc.).
 - Components are independent.
 - Presence of singularities.
- Constrained four-set parameterization (unit quaternion).
 - No singularities.
 - Non-unique.

This work is an investigation of the effects of pendulation on a nonlinear SO(3)-based attitude estimator.

- Model balloon-borne platform dynamics.
- Model IMU measurements.
- Review the nonlinear estimator.
- Test the robustness of the estimator in simulation.

Rigid-Body Kinematics

• Poisson's equation,

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{ba} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{b}^{ba^{\times}} \mathbf{C}_{ba} = \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{C}_{ba} \in SO(3).$$

- $\boldsymbol{\omega}_b^{ba} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the angular velocity.
- "Cross" operator: $(\cdot)^{\times} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathfrak{so}(3), \ \mathfrak{so}(3) = \{ \mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} | \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}} = -\mathbf{S} \}.$

Dynamic Model

Model Kinematics and Dynamics

The platform is modelled as a rigid body constrained to a rigid pendulum.

Kinematics

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{ba} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{b}^{ba^{ imes}} \mathbf{C}_{ba} = \mathbf{0},$$

 $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{pa} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{p}^{pa^{ imes}} \mathbf{C}_{pa} = \mathbf{0}.$

 Employing Lagrange's equation for constrained systems leads to the following dynamics:

$$\mathbf{M}\dot{\boldsymbol{\nu}} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{non}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^d + \boldsymbol{\tau}^c,$$

Τſ

where
$$oldsymbol{
u} = [oldsymbol{\omega}_{b}^{ba^{\mathsf{T}}} \hspace{0.1 in} oldsymbol{\omega}_{p}^{pa^{\mathsf{T}}}$$



Dynamic Model

Linear Acceleration

• Position of \mathcal{F}_b relative to \mathcal{F}_a ,

$$\underline{r}_{\rightarrow}^{\ ba} = \underline{l}_{\rightarrow} - \underline{y}.$$

Acceleration,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \underline{a}^{ba} & = & \underline{\omega}^{pa^{\circ}} \times \underline{l} + \underline{\omega}^{pa} \times \underline{\omega}^{pa} \times \underline{l} \\ & & -\underline{\omega}^{ba'} \times \underline{y} - \underline{\omega}^{ba} \times \underline{\omega}^{ba} \times \underline{y} \end{array}$$

• Acceleration resolved in \mathcal{F}_b ,

$$\mathbf{a}_b^{ba} = \mathbf{C}_{bp}(\dot{\omega}_p^{pa^{ imes}}\mathbf{l}_p + \omega_p^{pa^{ imes}}\omega_p^{pa^{ imes}}\mathbf{l}_p) \ -\dot{\omega}_b^{ba^{ imes}}\mathbf{y}_b - \omega_b^{ba^{ imes}}\omega_b^{ba^{ imes}}\mathbf{y}_b.$$



Measurement Model

Rate gyro

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_b^{ba} + \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

Magnetometer

$$\mathbf{m}_b^y = \mathbf{C}_{ba}\mathbf{m}_a + \boldsymbol{\mu}^m.$$

Accelerometer nominally measures

$$\mathbf{g}_b^{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{C}_{ba}\mathbf{g}_a + \boldsymbol{\mu}^g,$$

where
$$\mathbf{g}_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -g \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
.

We will consider

$$\mathbf{g}_b^{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{C}_{ba}\mathbf{g}_a + \mathbf{a}_b^{ba} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathbf{g}}.$$

SO(3)-Based Estimator

We will implement the estimator proposed by Mahony et al. (2005).

Estimator Dynamics

$$egin{array}{rcl} \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{ea} &=& -(oldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathrm{y}}-\hat{\mathbf{b}}+oldsymbol{\sigma})^{ imes}\mathbf{C}_{ea}, \ \dot{\hat{\mathbf{b}}} &=& -rac{k_i}{k}oldsymbol{\sigma}, \end{array}$$

where C_{ea} is the estimate of C_{ba} , \hat{b} is the estimate of b, and σ is the innovation. The goal is to drive C_{ea} to C_{ba} .

Innovation

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -k\left(k_{g}\mathbf{g}_{e}^{\times}\mathbf{g}_{b}^{y} + k_{m}\mathbf{m}_{e}^{\times}\mathbf{m}_{b}^{y}\right),$$

where

$$\mathbf{g}_e = \mathbf{C}_{ea} \mathbf{g}_a$$
 and $\mathbf{m}_e = \mathbf{C}_{ea} \mathbf{m}_a$.

 Gains k_g and k_m are chosen based on the relative confidence of the measurements.

Proportional-Derivative Control Law

$$\tau_c = -k_p \hat{\theta}_3 - k_d (\omega_3^y - \hat{b}_3)$$

• Yaw, $\hat{\theta}_3$, is extracted from \mathbf{C}_{ea} .

• ω_3^y is the third component of the measured angular velocity.

• \hat{b}_3 is the third component of the estimated bias.

Control

Simulation Parameters

- $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{b}^{ba}(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0.1]^{\mathsf{T}} (rad/s).$
- $\omega_p^{pa}(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^{\mathsf{T}} (rad/s).$
- $\mathbf{C}_{ba}(0) = \mathbf{C}_1(0^\circ)\mathbf{C}_2(0^\circ)\mathbf{C}_3(20^\circ).$

•
$$\mathbf{C}_{pa}(0) = \mathbf{C}_1(-85^\circ)\mathbf{C}_2(0^\circ)\mathbf{C}_3(0^\circ).$$

Disturbances are populated by flight data.

Estimator Parameters

- *k* = 5.
- First simulation: $k_g = k_m = 1$.
- Second simulation: $k_g = 0.1$ and $k_m = 1$.

 $k_g = k_m = 1$



 $\mathbf{C}_{be} = \mathbf{C}_{ba} \mathbf{C}_{ea}^{\mathsf{T}}.$

 $k_g = k_m = 1$



17/23

 $k_g = k_m = 1$



 $k_g = 0.1$ and $k_m = 1$



$$\mathbf{C}_{be} = \mathbf{C}_{ba} \mathbf{C}_{ea}^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

 $k_g = 0.1$ and $k_m = 1$



20/23

 $k_g = 0.1$ and $k_m = 1$



- The effects of pendulation on a nonlinear *SO*(3)-based estimator has been investigated.
- A dynamic model of the balloon-borne platform was derived.
- Acceleration of the platform was included in the accelerometer measurement.
- Effects of oscillation can be mitigated by reducing the estimator gain associated with the accelerometer.
 - Not ideal as magnetometer measurements can be unreliable.
- Future work includes the development of an estimator that directly takes acceleration due to oscillation into account.

Questions?

dzlotnik@umich.edu forbesrj@umich.edu

Presentation created using LATEX and Beamer.